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Abstract

This paper describes the land mollusc fauna of four "Natura 2000" sites in the Emilia-Romagna region, northern Italy. The study sites are located along an altitudinal transect and host different forest types. Study sites include a coastal site (Pineta di Classe), a lowland site (Ripristini di Bentivoglio), an area between the river Reno and the nearby hills (Destra Reno), and a montane site on the watershed with Toscana (Lago Brasimone). Fifty-eight species were found, approximately 11% of the Italian land mollusc fauna and 48% of the estimated fauna of Emilia-Romagna. The fauna has a strong continental affinity, with some Mediterranean elements more common in the coastal site. Among the species of conservation interest there is *Vertigo angustior* found as several empty shells at Pineta di Classe and at Destra Reno. We confirm the presence of the alien species *Bulgarica denticulata* in the pine woodlands near Ravenna (Pineta di Classe) but we hypothesize that its settlement could be a more recent event than suggested in the literature to date: no records of species referable to this taxon could be found in the 18th century literature on the molluscs of Ravenna and its nearby pine woodlands, suggesting that the arrival of this alien species in Italy could be dated between the end of the 18th century and the beginning of the 19th century.
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Introduction

Invertebrates are often neglected in conservation policies notwithstanding they constitute the great majority of biodiversity (Ponder & Lunney, 1999). Major impediments to their protection include (Cardoso et al., 2011): poor public awareness, poor policymakers and stake-holders awareness, insufficient basic knowledge such as distribution and abundance, an high number of species still to be described even in presumed well known areas like Europe (Fontaine et al., 2012) and poor knowledge on species way of life and sensitivities to habitat change. Molluscs do not make an exception to this rule. Moreover, 42% of the 693 recorded extinctions since the year
1500 are molluscs (Lydeard et al., 2004) and even more go unnoticed (Régnier et al., 2009). Non-marine molluscs constitute 99% of all molluscan extinctions. Among the objectives of the European Union Council Directive 92/43 “Habitat” there is to contribute towards ensuring biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora in the European territory of the EU Member States. Species conservation is sought by identifying species “of community interest” (Annex II) or “in need of strict protection” (Annex IV) and designating special areas of conservation for the former, and enforcing prohibition of capture, killing, disturbance and trade for the latter. It is recognized that the species listed in the annexes of the Directive are poorly representative of present day conservation priorities (Bouchet et al., 1999) and often not adequately representative of European threatened biodiversity (Cardoso, 2012). However, invertebrates and continental molluscs in particular may indirectly benefit from the habitat protection enforced (which in any case is limited to a selection of habitats).

In this context, faunal studies targeting land molluscs represent a tentative to fill the knowledge gap of species distribution and abundance. The present work is based on extensive sampling in four “Natura 2000” sites in the Emilia-Romagna region, northern Italy. Sites were selected as being representative of the local major bioclimatic regions: the coast, the lowland, the riverine and hilly countryside, and the mountains. Intense sampling mainly targeted woodland sites, because they are among the few natural habitats still present in the region, especially in lowland, and host the great majority of land snail species (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). However, non-quantitative sampling was carried out also outside these habitats, mainly going to and coming back from woodland sampling sites.

Previous knowledge of Emilia-Romagna land snails is exceptionally scarce and mainly dating back to the 19th century (e.g. Strobel, 1878 and the work on his collection by Bellavere & Peretti (1884); Picaglia, 1892). Among the few modern works, there are the check-list of molluscs of the province of Modena (Palazzi, 1985), a few tens of kilometres west of the study sites, and that of Zangheri (1969) listing species found in Romagna, the eastern part of the region, enclosing the coastal site included in this study. No modern works were found for the province of Bologna, where the three non-coastal sites are located. Further data can be found in very limited quantity in the material examined by taxonomic work. Therefore, the objectives of this work are: i) to describe the molluscan fauna of these sites, contributing to the knowledge of invertebrates in the Natura 2000 network, ii) to highlight the presence of any molluscan endemic species, species of conservation interest or alien species, and iii) to provide baseline biodiversity information for future assessment of conservation efforts efficacy. The paper will also discuss the date of first settlement of the alien species Bulgarica denticulata (Olivier, 1801).

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was conducted in four “Natura 2000” sites of the Emilia-Romagna region along an altitudinal transect: SCI-SPA IT4070010 “Pineta di Classe” (in the province of Ravenna), SCI-SPA IT4050024 “Biotopi e ripristini ambientali di Bentivoglio, San Pietro in Casale, Malalbergo e Baricella”, SCI-SPA IT4050029 “Boschi di San Luca e Destra Reno” and SCI 4050022 “Laghi di Suviana e Brasimone” (all in the province of Bologna) (Fig. 1). In the following text, it will be referred to the sites respectively as “Pineta di Classe”, “Ripristini di Bentivoglio”, “Destra Reno”, “Lago Brasimone” for sake of brevity, and we will always refer only to the forested habitats therein.

Pineta di Classe is a coastal woodland of 0.11 km². Its surface is mostly covered by pine and oak woodland (Pinus pinea, Pinus pinaster and Quercus ilex). Soil is sandy and calcareous.

Ripristini di Bentivoglio is a lowland site (maximum 17 m a.s.l.), originally covered by marshes until the 18th century, then reclaimed and used for rice fields until mid-20th century. Here woodlands are mostly composed by poplar and willow (Populus alba and Salix alba), and sampling was concentrated in these areas. Soil is calcareous.

Destra Reno is a diverse site on the hills south of Bologna, with two main habitats: a poplar woodland (Salicetum albae formation) along the right side of the Reno River, and an oak or hornbeam and maple woodland (Knautio-Quercetum pubescentis and Ostryo-Acetum opulifolii) on the hills above. The river woodlands lays between 50 and 70 m a.s.l., while the oak woodlands lays between 80 and 370 m a.s.l. In the presentation of results, species preference for one or the other habitat will be highlighted. Soil is calcareous.

Lago Brasimone is a site on the Apennines, near the watershed with Toscana. It has the greatest elevation range, from 600 to 1,250 m a.s.l. The site is covered by extensive almost pure beech forests (Fagus sylvatica) and by other deciduous trees like oak (Quercus cerris, Quercus pubescens), hornbeam (Ostrya carpinifolia, Carpinus betulus) and chestnut (Castanea sativa) forests. Soils are mostly acidic.

Sampling and sorting techniques

Sampling was carried out mainly with a quantitative approach. Areas of 1 km² with homogeneous habitat cover were randomly located and will be here called sampling stations. At each station, two collecting methods were used: time-constrained visual search, and leaf litter and soil collecting and sorting. The former was carried out on three 10 × 10 m squares. Each square was searched by a single operator for 30 minutes. The latter was performed by collecting 2 litres of litter and soil down to 2 cm for five replicate. Samples were air-dried and sieved down to 0.5 mm mesh. Fractions above 1 cm were searched by eye while those below under a micro-
stereoscope. Samples were taken outside the areas of the visual search. Three visual search replicates and five soil and litter samples were collected at each station. Replicates were collected at sites distant one from each other between 10 and 50 m. Twenty stations were inspected at each site by visual search (only 5 at Ripristini di Bentivoglio due to the limited habitat extension) and 15 stations were inspected by leaf litter and soil sorting (again only 5 at Ripristini di Bentivoglio). On the way to and from qualitative sampling sites, qualitative search was carried out too.

Field activities were conducted in spring, from April to early June 2012, when favourable climatic conditions (rainfall, humidity) enhance the activity and therefore detectability of molluscs. Moreover, all visual searches were carried out during daylight. This might have a reduced efficacy for slugs (Wareborn, 1969) which are more active at night but is not expected to significantly affect the results for snails (Ward-Booth & Dussart, 2001).

Identification and taxonomy

Identification was carried out mostly to the species level, without inspection of the soft parts. Groups with little taxonomic knowledge, or for which our material did not allow definitive identifications were treated with a lumping approach and use of the “cf.” or “sp.” notation. Nomenclature follows the Fauna Europaea project (Fauna Europaea, 2012). The systematic arrangement follows Bodon et al. (1995) for Prosobranchia and Manganelli et al. (1995) for Pulmonata. Distributional data at the European scale mainly originates from Welter-Schultes (2012). When quoting from other Authors' papers we have kept the binomen they used. All the material here described is stored in the Museo di Zoologia dell'Università di Bologna (MZUB).

The study is based on the ca. 23,000 specimens, 8,384 of which were collected alive.

Abbreviations

sh(s): empty shells
spm(s): live collected specimens

Results

Class Gastropoda Cuvier, 1795
Family Aciculidae Gray, 1840
Platyla stussineri (O. Boettger 1884) (Fig. 2A-C)

Material examined

Destra Reno: 1 spm.

Remarks

This single live collected specimen was found in a leaf litter and soil sample in Chiosco near Ancognano, in an area of oak woodland on the hills, at 265 m a.s.l. Bodon & Gianfanelli (2008) recorded this species in the Province of Bologna, but at an higher altitude along the Apennines watershed.

Distribution

Central and northern Italy, Slovenia.

Family Pomatiidae Newton, 1891
Pomatias elegans (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined

Pineta di Classe: 3,513 spms, 2,696 shs; Ripristini di
Bentivoglio: 848 spms, 351 shs; Destra Reno: 1,661 spms, 1,009 shs; Lago Brasimone: 64 spms, 45 shs.

Remarks
This species was ubiquitarian in Pineta di Classe and in Destra Reno (both on the hills and along the river). It was present in Ripristini di Bentivoglio in most sites, but not in the damper areas and marshes banks. Remarkably rare in Lago Brasimone, where it was found alive only in 15% of visual search stations and in 7% of leaf litter and soil samples. These stations are all at the south-western border of the Natura 2000 site.

Distribution
This species has a wide distribution in central and southern Europe and in northern Africa.

Family Carychiidae Jeffrey, 1830
_Carychiium tridentatum_ (Risso, 1826)
(Fig. 2D-F)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 18 spms, 262 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 22 spms, 290 shs; Destra Reno: 5 spms, 144 shs.

Remarks
This was an infrequent species in most sites, and absent from Lago Brasimone. It was more frequent in damper areas: live collected specimens or empty shells were found all along the Reno river, but only in 22% of the sites on the nearby hills. In Ripristini di Bentivoglio almost all specimens were found in the dampest area. In Destra Reno a specimen showing clear marks of predation was found (Fig. 2D-F). This type of damage conforms to “type 1” of Millar & Waite (2004) and it is expected to be caused by a predatory beetle. It is interesting to note that the predator peeled the shell for 1/4 whorls, an habit in common with some marine crabs (of which the most remarkable example is probably genus _Calappa_) when attacking gastropods (Vermeij, 1978). However, crabs usually start from the shell lip, while the predator of the _Carychiium_ did not break its lip. This may be the consequence of the much less resistant shell of the land snail and of the different morphology of the predator crushing apparatus. Crabs have a single mobile finger and can grab the shell by the peristome breaking it, while beetles have mandibles which are both mobile and often sharp: they can therefore more easily break the shell wall rather than the peristome, whose thickness makes it more resistant. The identification was checked by the direct observation of the parietal fold after breaking the shell wall.

Distribution
From Europe to Caucasus and northern Africa.

*Family Succineidae Beck, 1837*
*Succinea oblonga* (Draparnaud, 1801)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 2 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 34 shs; Destra Reno: 4 spms, 6 shs.

Remarks
This species was found both by visual search and soil sorting, but only locally: at two sites in Pineta di Classe; at two stations in Ripristini di Bentivoglio and along the Reno river. Especially at Ripristini di Bentivoglio and Destra Reno the species was associated with the more humid habitats.

Distribution
Europe and northern Asia.

*Family Cochlicopidae Pilsbry, 1900*
_Cochlicopa lubrica_ (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 3 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 1 spm, 38 shs; Destra Reno: 3 shs.

Remarks
This species was abundant only in the most humid areas of Ripristini di Bentivoglio, where the only live collected specimen was found in a damp area at La Riccia.

Distribution
A species with a wide European distribution.
Family Vertiginidae Pilsbry, 1918
*Vertigo angustior* Jeffreys, 1830
(Fig. 2AN, AO)

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 75 shs; Destra Reno: 35 shs.

**Remarks**

The species was found by soil sorting at only two stations in Pineta di Classe and in 67% of stations in Destra Reno, exclusively along the river. It is considered a Vulnerable species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011) and is one of the few gastropods enlisted in Annex II of the “Habitat” Directive.

**Distribution**

Western Eurasia to northern Iran.

*Vertigo pygmaea* (Draparnaud, 1801)

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 9 shs; Destra Reno: 2 shs.

**Remarks**

Localized species found only in three stations in Pineta di Classe and two in Destra Reno, by sorting leaf litter and soil. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

A species with a wide distribution in Europe.

*Columnella edentula* (Draparnaud, 1805)

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 2 spms, 9 shs.

**Remarks**

A localized species, found alive at a single site right in the center of the woodland and dead in four stations, by sorting leaf litter and soil. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

Widespread in Europe.

*Truncatellina cf. callicratis* (Scacchi, 1833)
(Fig. 2G-AL)

**Material examined**


**Remarks**

Live collected specimens or empty shells of *Truncatellina* were found everywhere in Pineta di Classe and Ripristini di Bentivoglio and in 40% of Destra Reno stations. Here live collected specimens were found more often along the river rather than on the hills. The Italian fauna has four *Truncatellina* species (Manganelli et al., 1995): *T. callicratis*, *T. clauslalis* (Gredler, 1856), *T. cylindrica* (Férussac, 1807) and *T. monodon* (Held, 1837). The latter is easily recognizable by the absence of ribs and has an Alpine distribution (Welter-Schultes, 2012). *T. clauslalis* has the palatal tooth deeply-set and invisible from mouth, but visible externally in rear view through the transparency of the shell as a distinct white mark (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). On the contrary, the main diagnostic shell character between *T. callicratis* and *T. cylindrica* seems to be the total absence of teeth in *T. cylindrica*, despite that tooth-less specimens can be found in *T. callicratis* too (Kerney & Cameron, 1979).

Our samples from Pineta di Classe, Ripristini di Bentivoglio and Destra Reno contained almost 1,200 specimens of *Truncatellina* displaying an astonishing morphological variation. Both shell shape and sculpture and presence/absence of teeth were considered in the attempt to discriminate between *T. cylindrica* and *T. callicratis*. However, neither of the characters mentioned above allowed us to consistently discriminate between the two. Given the frequent presence of teeth, we opted for identifying all specimens as *T. callicratis*. However, the morphological variation we observed suggested to us to reconsider the presence of teeth as a key diagnostic character in this group (supporting the hypothesis of Holyoak et al., 2012) and possibly we isolated a species group rather than a single species, hence the choice to use the “cf.” notation.

It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

Algeria, southern Europe to central Asia.

Family Chondrinidae Steenberg, 1925
*Granaria frumentum* (Draparnaud, 1801)

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 29 spms, 82 shs; Destra Reno: 13 spms, 19 shs.

**Remarks**

This species was intercepted alive and dead both by visual search and leaf litter and soil sorting. At Pineta di Classe it was found in half of the stations, while in Destra Reno it was found mainly on the hills (live collected specimens only). The nominal species is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).
Distribution

The species G. frumentum (Draparnaud, 1801) is distributed from central Europe South to Italy and East to the Balkans. However, it is split in several subspecies. The taxon G. frumentum illyrica has been used for populations in Dalmatia and northern Italy so far. Recently Fehér et al. (2010) revised the distribution of these subspecies and question that G. frumentum illyrica is really distributed in northern Italy.

Family Lauriidae Steenberg, 1925
Lauria cylindracea (Da Costa, 1778)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 1 spm.

Remarks
The single live collected specimen was found by visual search in a station with ivy at the north-western edge of the site. Remarkably, we did not find any further specimens, neither dead. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Europe to Caucasus and Arabia.

Family Argnidae Hudec, 1965
Argna biplicata (Michaud, 1831)

Material examined
Lago Brasimone: 2 shs.

Remarks
The two shells were found south of Stagno, at the south-western border of the site, in mixed deciduous woodland. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Alps and Apennines; isolated populations in Valencia (Spain) and north-western Greece.

Family Valloniidae Beck, 1847
Vallonia costata (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 7 spms, 10 shs; Destra Reno: 2 shs.

Remarks
At Ripristini di Bentivoglio the species was extremely localized in a damp area at La Riccia where all live collected specimens were found sorting soil. In Destra Reno it was found in only two stations along the river. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Vallonia pulchella (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 11 spms, 25 shs; Destra Reno: 2 shs.

Remarks
This species was found in Ripristini di Bentivoglio in the same area where V. costata was found. In Destra Reno it was found at a single station along the river. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Wide distribution in Europe.

Acanthinula aculeata (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Destra Reno: 49 spms, 304 shs; Lago Brasimone: 17 spms, 35 shs.

Remarks
This species was found in Destra Reno both on the hills (where it was present in all the samples) and along the river (50% of stations). It was also found in 75% of stations at Lago Brasimone. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
This species has a very wide distribution covering North Africa, all of Europe to Russia and Transcaucasia, the British Isles.

Family Enidae Woodward, 1903
Chondrula trident (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 28 shs; Destra Reno: 1 sh.

Remarks
This species was found in 25% of stations in Pineta di
Class, where it was found dead only and usually worn. A single worn shell was found on the hills of Destra Reno. The lack of live collected specimens may be due to the preference of this species for more open and drier habitats (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). It is considered a Near Threatened species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**
A European species.

*Janiunia quadridens* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

**Material examined**
Destra Reno: 1 sh.

**Remarks**
A rare species found by visual search on the hills of Destra Reno, at the southernmost tip of the site. However, the species prefers more open and drier habitats than woodland (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**
A central and southern European species, ranging from Spain to Germany and northern Greece.

*Merdigera obscura* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

**Material examined**
Destra Reno: 9 shs.

**Remarks**
It was found in leaf litter and soil collected in more than half of the stations on the hills of Destra Reno. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**
Wide distribution across Europe to Uzbekistan, northwestern Africa.

*Family Punctidae Morse, 1864*

*Punctum pygmaenm* (Draparnaud, 1801)

**Material examined**
Pineta di Classe: 37 spms, 1401 shs; Destra Reno: 15 spms, 403 shs; Lago Brasimone: 84 spms, 274 shs.

**Remarks**
This species was not found in Ripristini di Bentivoglio but it was one of the most widespread and abundant species elsewhere.

**Distribution**
This species has a wide distribution all around Europe to West and North Asia.

*Family Helicodiscidae Baker, 1927*

*Lucilla singleiana* (Pilsbry, 1889)  
(Fig. 2A-P-AR)

**Material examined**
Destra Reno: 2 spms, 21 shs; Lago Brasimone: 3 spms, 3 shs.

**Remarks**
This species was found in leaf litter and soil samples in Destra Reno, mainly along the river, and in two stations at Lago Brasimone.

**Distribution**
This is a North American species supposed to be introduced in Europe (Welter-Schultes, 2012).

*Family Discidae Thiele, 1931*

*Discus rotundatus* (O.F. Müller, 1774)  
(Fig. 3A-C)

**Material examined**
Pineta di Classe: 7 spms, 45 shs; Destra Reno: 5 spms; Lago Brasimone: 4 shs.

**Remarks**
This species was particularly abundant at Pineta di Classe, where however it was extremely localized: it was found only at two stations in the northern part of the site. Here a particularly attractive population with a
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totally white shell was found (Fig. 3A-C). In Destra Reno live collected specimens were spotted during visual search under logs.

**Distribution**

European species.

*Family Arionidae J. Gray, 1840*
*Arius cf. franciscoloi* Boato, Bodon & Giusti, 1983 *(Fig. 3D)*

**Material examined**
Lago Brasimone: 1 spm.

**Remarks**
The individual was found in a single station at the southeasternmost border of the site near Stagno. It was differentiated from *Arius intermedius* because of its larger size (3 cm versus 1.5 cm), darker colour, thicker skin tubercles.

**Distribution**
An Italian endemic, found from eastern Liguria, Toscana (only in the Alpi Apuane) and in the northern Apennines.

*Arius cf. intermedius* Normand, 1852 *(Fig. 3E)*

**Material examined**
Lago Brasimone: 4 spms.

**Remarks**
The four live collected specimens were found in two stations close to each other, in the beech woodland on the right of the stream Brasimone.

**Distribution**
An European species.

*Family Oxychilidae Hesse in Geyer, 1927*
*Aegopinella pura* (Alder, 1830) *(Fig. 3F-H)*

**Material examined**
Lago Brasimone: 1 sh.

**Remarks**
A very rare species: a single dead but fresh specimen was found in leaf litter and soil at a single site near the spring of the Brasimone stream, in beech woodland at 1,131 m a.s.l., at the southernmost tip of the site of Lago Brasimone, very close to the watershed and the border with Toscana.

**Distribution**
An European species, occurring East to Iran.

*Oxychilus cf. draparnaudii* (H. Beck, 1837) *(Fig. 3I-Q)*

**Material examined**
Pineta di Classe: 82 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 2 spms, 88 shs; Destra Reno: 2 spms, 4 shs.

**Remarks**
This species was ubiquitous in Pineta di Classe and Ripristini di Bentivoglio, while rare in Destra Reno. It was not found at Lago Brasimone. The species was intercepted mostly by leaf litter and soil sorting. However, visual search was particularly effective in Ripristini di Bentivoglio and the only collecting method who allowed the finding of the few specimens of Destra Reno. *Oxychilus* species can be unambiguously identified only when soft parts are available for the analysis of the reproductive apparatus. For this reason, we chose the "cf." notation being aware that our identification on the shell morphology might not be definitive and that we may have lumped more species in a single entity.

**Distribution**
Original distribution in south-western and western Europe, but nowadays worldwide dispersed.

*Retinella olivetorum* (Gmelin, 1791)

**Material examined**
Destra Reno: 60 spms, 423 shs; Lago Brasimone: 2 shs.

**Remarks**
This species was particularly frequent in Destra Reno, where it was found in 75% of stations on the hills, and 63% of stations along the river.

**Distribution**
This is a species distributed in Italy and with a relict population in southern France (Magnin et al., 2012).

*Family Pristilomatidae T. Cockerell, 1891*
*Vitrea cf. etrusca* (Paulucci, 1878) *(Fig. 3R-T)*

**Material examined**
Lago Brasimone: 4 shs.
Remarks

The specimens attributed to this species were found in a single sample of leaf litter and soil collected at the south-westernmost border of the site near Chiapporato, in mixed deciduous woodland.

Distribution

This is a species endemic to Italy and Corsica.

*Vitrea subrimata* (Reinhardt, 1871)
(Fig. 3U-W)

Material examined

Pineta di Classe: 11 spms, 338 shs; Destra Reno: 23 spms, 90 shs; Lago Brasimone: 69 spms, 116 shs.

Remarks

This was a frequent species in leaf litter and soil samples, found in 75% of stations at Pineta di Classe, in 47% of stations in Destra Reno (but 67% in the hills), and 93% of stations at Lago Brasimone.

Distribution

Central and southern Europe, North Africa.

Family Milacidae Ellis, 1926
*Tandonia rustica* (Millet, 1843)
(Fig. 3X)

Material examined

Destra Reno: 1 spm.

Remarks

A single individual was found in oak woodland at 131 m a.s.l., near Le Ganzole at the southernmost tip of the site Destra Reno.

Distribution

Central and southern Europe.

Family Limacidae Rafinesque, 1815
*Limax* sp.
(Fig. 4A, B)

Material examined

Destra Reno: 7 spms; Lago Brasimone: 22 spms.

Remarks

This *Limax* was found in half of the stations in Destra Reno along the river and in half of sites at Lago Brasimone. A large species exceeding 20 cm when extended.

Family Agriolimacidae H. Wagner, 1935
*Deroceras cf. reticulatum* (O.F. Müller, 1774)
(Fig. 4C)

Material examined

Pineta di Classe: 3 spms; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 16 spms; Destra Reno: 17 spms.

Remarks

This species was found only locally at low altitude. At Ripristini di Bentivoglio it was found in two stations only near La Riccia, while in Destra Reno only in three stations along the river. It was not found in the forests of Lago Brasimone.

Distribution

Wide European distribution, now introduced worldwide.

Family Euconulidae Baker, 1928
*Euconulus fulvus* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined

Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 6 shs; Destra Reno: 9 spms, 21 shs.

Remarks

It was found in a damp area at La Riccia in Ripristini di Bentivoglio and in Destra Reno only along the river.

Distribution

Northern Hemisphere.

Family Ferrussaciidae Bourguignat, 1883
*Cecilioides acicula* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined

Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 20 shs; Destra Reno: 4 spms, 39 shs.

Remarks

This species was rather frequent in Ripristini di Bentivoglio, shells were found in 80% of sampling sites. In Destra Reno it was easier to find along the river than on the hills. It was remarkably easier to find empty shells and this may be a consequence of the subterranean living habit of the species (Kerney & Cameron, 1979).

Distribution

Europe to central Asia and northern Africa.
Fig. 4. A-B. Limax sp., length 110 mm, Lago Brasimone. C. Deroceras cf. reticulatum, length 30 mm, Pineta di Classe. D. Testacella scutulum, length 20 mm, Destra Reno. E. Testacella scutulum, length 20 mm, Lago Brasimone. F-H. Testacella scutulum, height 1.75 mm, Destra Reno. I-K. Candidula cf. unifasciata, diameter 6.4 mm, Destra Reno. L-N. Helix lucorum, uniform light grey colour form, diameter 36.3 mm, Ripristini di Bentivoglio.

Fig. 4. A-B. Limax sp., lunghezza 110 mm, Lago Brasimone. C. Deroceras cf. reticulatum, lunghezza 30 mm, Pineta di Classe. D. Testacella scutulum, lunghezza 20 mm, Destra Reno. E. Testacella scutulum, lunghezza 20 mm, Lago Brasimone. F-H. Testacella scutulum, altezza 1,75 mm, Destra Reno. I-K. Candidula cf. unifasciata, diametro 6,4 mm, Destra Reno. L-N. Helix lucorum, individuo di colore uniforme grigio chiaro, diametro 36,3 mm, Ripristini di Bentivoglio.
Family Subulinidae P. Fischer & Crosse, 1877
Rumina decollata (Linnaeus, 1758)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 20 spms, 76 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 2 spms, 45 shs; Destra Reno: 9 shs.

Remarks
Live collected specimens in Pineta di Classe were often observed buried in the soil. It was found in the drier parts of the sites and was totally absent from the stations along the river Reno. Moreover, in Destra Reno it was found only at its northern tip, in the most disturbed parts of the oak woodlands, which is an area much frequented by people and close to buildings.

Distribution
Southern Europe and northern Africa.

Family Testacellidae Draparnaud, 1801
Testacella scutulata G.B. Sowerby I, 1820
(Fig. 4D-H)

Material examined
Destra Reno: 1 spm, 12 shs; Lago Brasimone: 2 spms.

Remarks
A rare species, possibly poorly intercepted alive because of its subterranean living habit (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). Indeed, empty shells were found much more often in Destra Reno (40% of soil samples, while it was found alive in a single station). At Lago Brasimone it was very localized: the two live collected specimens were found in a single station.

Distribution
An European species.

Family Clausiliidae Mörch, 1864
Cochlodina comensis (Pfeiffer, 1850)
(Fig. 5A-D)

Material examined
Destra Reno: 11 spms, 1 sh; Lago Brasimone: 4 spms, 4 shs.

Remarks
As for most of the clausiliids cited below, visual search proved to be much more effective than leaf litter and soil sorting for interception. In Destra Reno, only found along the river.

Cochlodina laminata (Montagu, 1803)
(Fig. 5E-H)

Material examined
Destra Reno: 18 spms; Lago Brasimone: 17 spms, 2 shs.

Remarks
This species was found alive under dead wood in stations along the Reno river and in a few stations at Lago Brasimone. In Destra Reno, where it was found only along the river, it was observed exploiting holes in dead wood made by insect larvae as a humid refuge in exposed places.

Distribution
Europe and Caucasus.

Macrogastria attenuata (Rossmässler, 1835)
(Fig. 5Q-T)

Material examined
Destra Reno: 24 spms; Lago Brasimone: 2 spms.

Remarks
This species was found alive under dead wood.

Distribution
A central European species.

Macrogastria plicatula (Draparnaud, 1801)
(Fig. 5U-X)

Material examined
Lago Brasimone: 6 spms, 1 sh.

Remarks
A rare species found only at two stations. It was found only at Lago Brasimone. On the basis of lithology (arenaceous-pelitic rocks), this is the site with the most acidic soil. Other authors reported this species avoids low pH sites (Wäreborn, 1982).

Distribution
An European species.

Clausilia cruciata (S. Studer, 1820)
(Fig. 5M-P)
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Fig. 5. A-D Cochlodina comensis, height 12.3 mm. E-H Cochlodina laminata, height 17.2 mm. I-L Bulgarica denticulata, height 14.5 mm. Pineta di Classe. M-P Clausilia cruciata, height 11.6 mm. Destra Reno. Q-T Macrogastra attenuata, height 15 mm. U-X Macrogastra plicatula, height 12.7 mm. Lago Brasimone.

Fig. 5. A-D. Cochlodina comensis, altezza 12,3 mm. E-H Cochlodina laminata, altezza 17,2 mm. I-L Bulgarica denticulata, altezza 14,5 mm. Pineta di Classe. M-P Clausilia cruciata, altezza 11,6 mm. Destra Reno. Q-T Macrogastra attenuata, altezza 15 mm. U-X Macrogastra plicatula, altezza 12,7 mm. Lago Brasimone.
Material examined
Destra Reno: 5 spms, 3 shs; Lago Brasimone: 7 spms.

Remarks
This clausiliid was found both along the river and in the woodland on the hills in Destra Reno, and both in the mixed deciduous forest and in the beech forest at Lago Brasimone.

Distribution
An European species.

_Bulgarica denticulata_ (Olivier, 1801)
(Fig. 5I-L)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 473 spms, 503 shs.

Remarks
This species was found alive almost everywhere in the site of Pineta di Classe. It was intercepted alive both with visual search (90% of stations) and leaf litter and soil sorting (60% of stations). If also empty shells are considered, the species was ubiquitarian. The species was easily observable during our day light sampling in exposed places, like on bark and trunks, and also among dead leaves.

Distribution
In Italy it is an alien species, whose original distribution is south-eastern Europe and western Turkey (see Discussion).

Family Hygromiidae Tryon, 1866
_Xerotricha conspurcata_ (Draparnaud, 1801)

Material examined
Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 41 spms, 44 shs; Destra Reno: 2 shs.

Remarks
This species was found alive at a single station at Ripristini di Bentivoglio, where it was found by visual search under fallen tree branches. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
A southern European species.

_Candidula cf. unifasciata_ (Poiret, 1801)
(Fig. 4I-K)

Material examined
Destra Reno: 1 spm, 62 shs.

Remarks
This species was found mainly on the hills of Destra Reno, sorting leaf litter and soil. Notwithstanding the several empty shells found, only a single live collected specimen was collected. This may be due to the species preference for dry open places (Kerney & Cameron, 1979) rather than the forested habitats targeted by our research. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
A central European species.

_Hygromia cinctella_ (Draparnaud, 1801)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 18 spms, 121 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 2 spms, 101 shs; Destra Reno: 8 spms, 39 shs; Lago Brasimone: 2 shs.

Remarks
This was a common species throughout our survey with the only exception of Lago Brasimone where only 2 very worn specimens were found. It was found often alive on or under the leaves of plants. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Central and southern Europe.

_Cernuella cisalpina_ (Rossmässler, 1837)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 243 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 138 shs; Destra Reno: 9 shs.

Remarks
Remarkably, we were not able to intercept live collected specimens in our quantitative samples, notwithstanding the high number of empty shells found. As for _Candidula cf. unifasciata_, the species may prefer more open habitats than woodland. It is also tolerant to ruderal habitats and a few living specimens were found within the woodland near buildings. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
A southern European species.
Ceruella neglecta (Draparnaud, 1805)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 1 spm, 87 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 13 shs.

Remarks
As for other hygromiids, we found several empty shells but a single live collected specimen, possibly because of their preference for drier and more open habitat than woodland (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttellod et al., 2011).

Distribution
An European species.

Ciliella ciliata (W. Hartmann, 1821)

Material examined
Lago Brasimone: 1 sh.

Remarks
A single specimen was found on the western border of the site, in mixed deciduous woodland with oak, hornbeam and chestnut. Giusti et al. (1985) reported it as a very rare species in Italian forests. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttellod et al., 2011).

Distribution
From the eastern Pyrenees to Alps and the Apennines.

Trochoidea trochoidea (Poiret, 1789)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 25 shs.

Remarks
This species was found in almost half of the stations, but always dead and worn. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttellod et al., 2011).

Distribution
A coastal western Mediterranean species.

Monacha cantiana (Montagu, 1803)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 1 spm, 75 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 2 spms, 472 shs; Destra Reno: 83 spms, 55 shs.

Remarks
This species was particularly common in Destra Reno, where several live collected specimens were found in woodland. The species was widespread as most stations along the river (88%) and on hills (42%) had it. It was usually found on vegetation. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttellod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Originally Italy and southern France, but introduced to central Europe and the British Isles.

Monacha cartusiana (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 3 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 4 shs; Destra Reno: 2 shs.

Remarks
This was apparently a rare species in our survey. However, while we are reasonably confident about the identification of adult Monacha, the identification of the many juvenile and often worn empty shells found in the leaf litter and soil samples proved very difficult. We can't exclude that the frequency and abundance of this species was underestimated due to misidentification of such specimens with M. cantiana. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttellod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Wide European distribution from Portugal to Ukraine.

Family Cochlicellidae Schileyko, 1972
Cochlicella acuta (O.F. Müller, 1774)

Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 12 shs.

Remarks
This is a typical maritime species and indeed it was found in Pineta di Classe only. The absence of live collected specimens from our quantitative samples in woodland may be due to the preference of the species for more open and drier habitats (Kerney & Cameron, 1979). Live collected specimens were collected outside the stations after rain near the visitors centre of the reserve. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttellod et al., 2011).

Distribution
Coasts of the British Isles, western Europe, Mediterra-
nean coasts of Europe and then eastward to the Middle East.

Family Helicodontidae Kobelt, 1904
*Helicodonta obvelata* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

**Material examined**

Destra Reno: 23 spms, 68 shs; Lago Brasimone: 7 spms, 18 shs.

**Remarks**

This species was rather frequent in Destra Reno where it was found in 55% of stations (along the river and on the hills alike). It was also present in 50% of stations at Lago Brasimone. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

An European species.

Family Helicidae Rafinesque, 1815
*Chilostoma planospira* (Lamarck, 1822)

**Material examined**

Lago Brasimone: 4 shs.

**Remarks**

The few empty shells were found in stations spread over the site. A living specimen was observed outside stations near Bagno. It is considered a Data Deficient species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

This is a species endemic to Italy.

*Eobania vermiculata* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

**Material examined**

Destra Reno: 2 shs.

**Remarks**

This species was found at a single station on the hills of Destra Reno: Monte Belvedere. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

A species originally found in southern Europe, but now introduced to many other countries.

*Cepaea nemoralis* (Linnaeus, 1758)

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 1 spm, 88 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 12 spms, 265 shs; Destra Reno: 2 spms, 7 shs; Lago Brasimone: 1 sh.

**Remarks**

At Ripristini di Bentivoglio, this species was found alive or dead in all samples. However, live collected specimens were rare, notwithstanding the abundance of empty shells. It was also rather frequent at Pineta di Classe, where it was present in 60% of sampling sites. In Destra Reno it was found only along the river where the few specimens found were spread over most stations (63%). The only specimen found at Lago Brasimone had an attractive salmon pink pattern. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

An European species.

*Cornu aspersum* (O.F. Müller, 1774)

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 3 spms, 22 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 7 shs.

**Remarks**

The species was found in 55% and 40% respectively of the stations of Pineta di Classe and Ripristini di Bentivoglio, despite that live collected specimens were rarely encountered. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

Originally a species spread around the Mediterranean Sea, but now introduced worldwide.

*Helix cincta* O.F. Müller, 1774

**Material examined**

Pineta di Classe: 5 spms, 20 shs; Destra Reno: 22 shs.

**Remarks**

At Pineta di Classe the species was extremely localized: specimens were found only at two stations in its northernmost part. In Destra Reno the species was found only on the hills, but quite widespread along the site. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

**Distribution**

A southern European species ranging from northern Italy to Turkey and Lebanon.
Material examined
Pineta di Classe: 12 shs; Ripristini di Bentivoglio: 627 spms, 1099 shs; Dextra Reno: 1 spm, 120 shs.

Remarks
This species was incredibly abundant in Ripristini di Bentivoglio, where at some sites it was necessary to be extremely careful when walking to avoid stepping on specimens. At this site an unusual colour variation was found (Fig. 4G-I): the shell was uniformly light grey, but no differences could be noticed in the colour pattern of the animal. It is considered a Least Concern species in the European Red List (Cuttelod et al., 2011).

Distribution
A species found from southern Europe to the Middle East.

Discussion
Comparison with the Italian fauna and other local faunas
The 58 species here found are approximately 11% of the Italian land mollusc fauna (Manganelli et al., 2000) and 48% of the fauna of Emilia-Romagna (preliminary unpublished checklist by the Authors). Of the 57 species which were identified at the species level, 46 (80.7%) are characterized by a wide distribution in Europe, while 7 (12.3%) have a distribution restricted to one to few countries. Among these, four species have a distribution mostly restricted to Italy or are Italian endemics: Arion cf. franciscoloi, endemic to area from eastern Liguria to the northern Apennines, Retinella olivetorum, distributed all along the Italian peninsula and in a relict area in southern France; Vittrea etrusca, with a distribution restricted to northern and Central Italy and Corsica; Chilostoma planospira, distributed along most of Italy. Most of the species found (39, 68.4%) have a distribution which covers central Europe, while the remaining 18 (31.6%) are restricted to southern Europe. These figures are typical of the fauna of Emilia-Romagna. Palazzi (1985) described the fauna of the province of Modena cumulating data from personal collecting and the literature. This province neighbours the province of Bologna on its western side and shares with it a similar altitudinal excursion ranging from ca. 2000 m a.s.l. to lowland near the river Po. After a few taxonomical emendations, his work listed 72 species, sharing almost 60% of the species with our findings and maintaining the same strong continental affinity. This figure may rise up to 68% if the identification of a few species could be better reconciled with the modern nomenclature we followed. Among the species cited by Palazzi we did not find, there are some species with a southern France-northwestern Italy distribution which might find in the Province of Modena their easternmost distribution in Emilia-Romagna like Gravaria variabilis (Draperaud, 1801), Solatopupa similis (Bruguiere, 1792) and Itala itala (von Martens, 1824) and some other rare species, like Renoa elegantissima (Pini, 1886) and Vertigo moultisiana (Dupuy, 1849). Most other species have a wide distribution in Italy and Europe and could possibly also be part of the fauna of the provinces we investigated rising even more the degree of similarity between the two territories.

Zangheri (1969) described the fauna of Romagna, neighbouring the province of Bologna on the East and including the site “Pineta di Classe”. After clearing evident misidentifications and updating the nomenclature, again a significant overlap with our study could be noticed: 58.5% of the species are in common between the two lists, and up to 64.6% if the identification of a few species could be again better reconciled with the modern nomenclature we followed. Among the other species Zangheri cited, some are southern species which find their northern range limit in Romagna, like Semiliacella bonelli (Targioni Tozzetti, 1873), Tandonia sowerbyi (Férussac, 1823), Cerussia folliculus (Schröter, 1784), Siciliana gubbula (Rossmassler, 1836), Papillifera bidens (Linnaeus, 1758), Monacha martensiana (Tiberi, 1869) and Theba pisana (O.F. Müller, 1774). Some of them do not live in coastal pine woodlands, and hence their absence in our survey. Most other species we did not find have a wider European or Mediterranean distribution and could well be found in the territories we surveyed if a wider range of habitat would have been investigated, again rising even more the degree of similarity between our study sites and Romagna.

Conservation interest
The conservation status of 30 of the 63 species found (47.6%) was evaluated in the European Red-List of non-marine molluscs (Cuttelod et al., 2011). A single species, Chilostoma planospira, was considered Data Deficient (DD). Most species (27, 42.9% of the whole fauna) were listed as Least Concern, while Chondrula tridens was the only species being listed as Near Threatened (NT). A single threatened species was found: Vertigo angustior, which was listed as Vulnerable (VU). Vertigo angustior is also listed among the species in need of designation of special areas of conservation (Annex II) in the “Habitat” Directive. However, in Italy the species seems to have a better conservation status (Manganelli et al., 2000).

Arrival of the alien species Bulgarica denticulata
The history of the presence of Bulgarica denticulata in Romagna is complicated by an articulated nomenclatural history. The species was listed as Bulgarica thessalonica (Rossmassler, 1839) in the Checklist of Italian Fau-
na (Manganelli et al., 1995). Zangheri (1969) listed the species as Laciniastra plicata (Draparnaud, 1801) and a nice illustration of specimens from Romagna was provided by Lazzari (2007) under this name.

Zangheri’s record suggests that the species is present in the area at least since the mid 20th century. However, Tassinari (1854) recorded Clausilia semidenticulata Pfeiffer, a taxon now considered a synonym of Bulgarica denticulata, from the Ravenna pine woodlands. He already described it as an alien: he recorded the species from the pine woodlands of Ravenna and specified that “Sinora non era stata rilevata che nell’Asia minore, donde forse venne trasportata a Ravenna colle navi durante la sua dipendenza dall’Impero d’Oriente” [to date, the species has been found only in Turkey. Probably it was brought to Ravenna by ships during the Byzantine Empire]. The same hypothesis was proposed in recent times in a review of the modes of introduction of continental molluscs to Italy (Hallgass & Vannozzi, 2010). Despite that, this is a plausible explanation of the introduction of the species to Italy, it is interesting to note that in the 18th century Ginanni (1757) did not record anything similar. The work by Ginanni is prelinmean, so it is not straightforward to recognize modern taxa in there. However, Bulgarica denticulata is a sinistral species, an easy character to trace even in ancient works with poorly detailed illustrations of small sized species like Ginanni’s one.

The only sinistral species illustrated in the plates is on plate III of the land snail section, figure 23 (Fig. 6). The figure shows sinistrality, but obliterates any further diagnostic character. The figure corresponds to “turbinia terrestre minore” as described on page 59. The diagnosis is however quite clear in describing something different than Bulgarica denticulata: “di color terreo, che ha nove giri nella volta, e nell’unione di ciascuno di essi è sparsi di piccole tuberosità bianchicce, che fanno dentati i giri medesimi. Il primo di questi, che forma la bocca, e si dilata un poco a foggia di tromba, è contornato da un labbretto bianco, e tutti sono rigati orizzontalmente. L’ho ritrovato negli Orti di Ravenna, che sono circondati di muri.” [brown, with 9 whorls, sutures with small white tubercles; due to these tubercles, the appearance of the whorls is denticulate. The aperture is slightly inflated and with a white border. All the whorls are longitudinally striated. Found in gardens surrounded by walls in Ravenna]. The reference to the white subcylindrical protuberances suggests this to be Papillifera bidens (Linnaeus, 1758) rather than Bulgarica denticulata. More than 30 years later, a major work by Ginanni on the social and natural history of the pine woodlands of Ravenna was published posthumously (Ginanni, 1774). In the section on molluscs there is often reference to the work of 1757. However, there is no record of the “turbinia terrestre minore” nor of any other species which might resemble a clausiliid. Today, Bulgarica denticulata is a highly frequent and abundant species in the pine woodlands of Ravenna. If the colonization has to be dated back to the Byzantine Empire, it can be hypothesized that the species in the 18th century had already had enough time to spread and form consistent populations similar to the ones we see today. In this perspective, the lack of any reference to a similar species in Ginanni’s works is compelling. Despite that, the evidences collected in the ancient literature cannot be considered conclusive on the date of colonization of Ravenna’s pine woodlands by the species, we suggest that its colonization might have been more recent that considered to date, and datable between the end of the 18th century and the beginning of the 19th century.

Conclusions

This is one of the few faunistic works dealing with the land snail fauna of Emilia-Romagna region. The choice of sites along an altitudinal transect and with different forested habitats contributed to increase the diversity we managed to interpret. Fifty-eight species are here recorded, they represent approximately 11% of the Italian land snail fauna and 45% of the estimated fauna of Emilia-Romagna. Our study evidenced the presence of a vulnerable species, Vertigo angustior, and of a near threatened one, Chondroittus triens. Moreover, the alien species Bulgarica denticulata was found widely distributed in the coastal site of Pineta di Classe, near Ravenna. On the basis of the ancient literature, we hypothesize that the settlement of this species in the area is more recent than previously considered, and may be dated between the end of the 18th century and the beginning of the 19th century.
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Riassunto
L’anno di pubblicazione del “Catalogo sistematico e ragionato de’ testacei delle Due Sicilie” di O.G. Costa è il 1830, e non il 1829.
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Abstract
The publication date of O.G. Costa’s “Catalogo sistematico e ragionato de’ testacei delle Due Sicilie” is 1830, rather than the imprint date of 1829, since both dedication letter by Costa and gratitude message by Marquess Giuseppe Ruffo are dated November 1830.
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Introduzione
Il “Catalogo sistematico e ragionato de’ testacei delle Due Sicilie” è un’opera classica di malacologia mediterranea di Oronzio Gabriele Costa (1787-1867), nella quale furono descritti diversi nuovi taxa. L’anno di pubblicazione finora attribuito all’opera è il 1829, data che compare sul frontespizio dell’opera (Fig. 1).

Discussione
Le prime due pagine dell’opera riportano la dedica dell’autore al marchese Giuseppe Ruffo, ministro della casa Reale Borbonica, che è datata “23 novembre 1830”, a cui segue una breve frase di riconoscenza da parte del Ruffo, riportante la data del “24 novembre 1830” (Fig. 2A, B). La pubblicazione dell’opera non può quindi essere anteriore alle due predette date (novembre 1830) ed il “Catalogo” si deve considerare pubblicato il 31 dicembre 1830 (ICZN, 1999: Art. 21.3).


Pertanto, la data di pubblicazione dei nuovi taxa di molluschi istituiti dal Costa nell’opera in esame, qui elencati assieme alla nomenclatura aggiornata, va intesa come 1830 e non 1829, come finora riportato.

Va rimarcato che la nuova data di pubblicazione non comporta cambiamenti nella nomenclatura, a parte l’anno di pubblicazione, dei taxa coinvolti (Tab. 1).
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Fig. 2. Pagine 1 e 2, contenenti la dedica di O.G. Costa al Marchese G. Ruffo (con la data del 23 Novembre 1830), ed un breve messaggio di gratitudine a Costa da parte di Ruffo (con la data del 24 Novembre 1830).

Fig. 2. Pages 1 and 2, reporting the dedication letter by O.G. Costa to Marquess G. Ruffo (dated 23 November 1830), and a brief gratitude message by Ruffo to Costa (dated 24 November 2030).

---

Clitun rubicundus | Clitun corallinus (Risso, 1826)
Clitun euplanae | Callochiton septemvalvis (Montagu, 1803)
Clitun stigmata | Callochiton septemvalvis (Montagu, 1803)
Psmammobia cumana | Macoma cumana (O.G. Costa, 1830)
Psmammobia striata | Abra prismatica (Montagu, 1803)
Odoncietra | Thracia Leach in Blainville, 1824
Perox na textilis | Thracia phaseolina (Lamarck, 1818)
Corbula mediterranea | Lentidium mediterraneum (O.G. Costa, 1830)
Corbula olingica | Corbula gibba (Oliv., 1792)
Venus petaque | Asarte fuscus (Poli, 1791)
Venus levior | Venerupis corrugata (Gmelin, 1791)
Spondylus gussonii | Spondylus gussonii O.G. Costa, 1830
Argonutia uiniubilicatus | Scissurella costata d'Orbigny, 1824
Copraea umbilicalis | Trivia articula (Pulteney, 1799)
Voluta oryza | Rhyticyclus auriculata (Ménard de la Grove, 1811)
Buccinum elegans | Nassarius cavius (Payraudeau, 1826)
Buccinum semplicatum | Nassarius corniculatus (Olivi, 1792)
Cerithium inverus | ? Monophorius perversus (Linneo, 1758)
Turbinella ebrawae | Pella dorhigoni (Payraudeau, 1826)
Trochus varianus | Gibbula divaricata (Linneo, 1758)
Trochus lineolatus | Gibbula divaricata (Linneo, 1758);
Helix crispa | Cornu myallii (De Cristofori & Jan, 1832)
Janthina bicolor | Janthina janthina (Linneo, 1758) non Janthina bicolor Menke, 1828
Pupa splendida | Fussiaca fulicales (Gmelin, 1791)
Emarginula adriatica | Emarginula adriatica O.G. Costa, 1830
Debelium splendens | Fusiliria rubescens (Deshayes, 1825)
Clypeus sebeta | Bornia sebeta (O.G. Costa, 1830)

Tab. 1. Elenco dei nuovi taxa introdotti da Costa (1830). Nella prima colonna è riportato il nome originale del taxon, nella seconda l'aggiornamento nomenclaturale (in grassetto i taxa validi). Note: 1. Dell'Angelo & Palazzi (1994); 2. Monterosato (1879); 3. Taxon introdotto per le parti mollusco; 4. Philippi (1836); 5. Scacchi (1836); 6. Pilbory & Sharp (1897).

Tab. 1. New taxa introduced by Costa (1830), with original names (first column) and nomenclatural update (second column). Valid taxa are in boldface. Notes: 1. Dell'Angelo & Palazzi (1994); 2. Monterosato (1879); 3. Taxon introduced for the soft parts only; 4. Philippi (1836); 5. Scacchi (1836); 6. Pilbory & Sharp (1897).
1939), Emarginula solidula e Ancylus gussonii, sebbene elencati anche nel “Catalogo”, erano stati già descritti dallo stesso Costa (1829) in un precedente lavoro sui molluschi dell’Isola di Pantelleria.
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Fast and massive settlement of boring bivalves on coral slabs at Taboga Islands, Eastern Pacific, Panama

Karl Kleemann*

Abstract
Hundreds of natural recruits were observed on coral slabs exposed for five to six months at Urabá Island, Taboga Islands, Eastern Pacific in the course of experiments with chemically boring bivalves. Based on the size range of the specimens, the recruitment was a very fast phenomenon where many settled probably within days, many more during the following weeks. Recruits of the most common species, the mytilid Lithophaga (Leiosolenus) aristata (Dillwyn, 1817), reached up to 16.3 mm in length after 165 days during “winter” experiments. Densities of up to 5 borehole orifices per cm² were found. These results strongly contrast with results from previous recruitment experiments conducted in the tropical waters of the northern Red Sea, the Australian Great Barrier Reef and French Polynesia. Differences in recruitment on experimentally exposed substrates and possible reasons for the fast and intense settlement of boring bivalves at Urabá Island are discussed. For the latter, firstly the generally high organic turnover rate, and secondly the larval abundance of boring bivalves at the beginning of experiments appear to be largely responsible for the results.
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Introduction
Since the early publication of the extensive and annotated bibliography on marine borers by Clapp & Kenk (1963), one main focus of interest by the scientific community has been their influence in reef habitats. In such a perspective Neumann (1966) introduced the term bioerosion in his study of boring sponges, expanding the process to include abrasion by grazers as well. A first comprehensive review on the destructive action of coral reefs by organisms (Hutchings 1986) was further implemented by the bibliographic overview of micro- and macroscopic bioerosion (Radtke et al., 1997), and, more recently by the study of Wisshak & Tapanila (2008, and references therein).

Research on micro- and macro-borers covers a wide range of recent and fossil situations. Apart from studies focussed upon certain groups or genera and species of bioeroders, increasing interest has been devoted to their life-cycle and co-occurrence, including succession rates and inter-specific competition. Field experiments were conducted with exposed substrates in various designs for micro- and/or macroborers. Microborers, such as bacteria, fungi and cyanophytes, can already be observed in carbonate substrates after a few days or weeks of exposition (Radtke et al., 1996, 1997; Vogel et al., 1996, 2000; Gektidis 1999; Tribollet et al., 2002; Tribollet & Golubic, 2005). Macro-borers, such as polychaetes, sponges and bivalves, generally colonize freshly available substrates later (Hutchings, 1981, 2008; Hutchings & Murray, 1982; Hutchings & Bamber, 1985; Kiene & Hutchings, 1985, 1994; Hutchings & Peyrot-Clausade,
Zooxanthellate scleractinians, mainly *Siderastrea siderea* (Ellis & Solander, 1786), were collected from Caribbean coral reefs on two occasions by snorkelling in front of the breakwater at Fort Randolph, Colón, Panama. The Atlantic side was chosen because no suitable substrates could be obtained on the Pacific side. Carbonate substrates occurring near the Naos laboratory of the US Smithsonian Institution and at Taboga Islands proved to be already heavily infested and eroded by borers. The sampled corals were transported still wet back to the Naos laboratory the following day (by train: Nov. 1981), or the same day (by car: May 1982), for further treatment. 3.5 to 7-cm-thick slabs were cut using a hand saw from the coral heads. Once in the laboratory, young boring bivalves, by large belonging to lithophagine mussels, were placed into closely fitting, previously drilled and measured artificial holes in the slabs (Kleemann, 1990). After a few days in tanks with running seawater, the inserted bivalves usually had secreted a new byssus functional to their internal stabilization, and thus facilitating their further handling. The slabs were fastened inside mesh-wire cages which were shipped to the wreck at Uribá Island’s East coast and firmly attached inside the iron hull in 1-2 m depth at low tide (Kleemann, 1990: fig. 3). In most cases, the natural upper side of the slabs was oriented up and only exceptionally upside down to save space. The cut sides, facing sideways, were relatively smooth and typically nearly upright in orientation during exposure. The sides with inserted bivalves were oriented toward the centre of the mesh-wire cages. The mesh width, 11-12 mm in diameter, was chosen to keep off larger potential predators such as fish and crabs. The cages also facilitated transport and attachment of the experimental material inside the wreck.

Experiments were performed in two seasons. In the “winter” experiments, corals were brought into the field in two parts on 5 and 9 Nov. 1981, containing 302 and 328 bivalves respectively. Cages with coral slabs were recollected on 17 and 20 April 1982 and had been exposed for 165 respectively 163 days. They were examined first superficially and cleaned by scratching off most of the fouling organisms. This yielded a better view from all sides and of the superficial boring traces. The slabs were then carefully split into pieces with hammer and “slit”-chisel for detailed investigation. In the “summer” experiments, new coral slabs, either free of or with inserted bivalves, and some old coral fragments were exposed for 174 days, from 10 May to 1 Nov. 1982.

**Results**

The recollected mesh-wire cages were partly covered by a dense variety of fouling organisms, as were the slabs inside. The latter had to be cleaned of the larger foulers, including byssozoans, sedentary polychaetes, cirripeds and oysters, by brushing and scraping. This procedure also revealed an intense settlement by veligers (larvae) of boring bivalves during the “winter” experiments. This was evident in the development of numerous boreholes of juvenile lithophagines, corresponding to dozens of recruits per coral slab (Figs 1-5). The most common bivalve species was *Lithophaga (Leiosolenus) aristata* (Dillwyn, 1817); in addition several *L. (Leiosolenus) plumula* (Hanley, 1843) and a few recruits of *L. (Leiosolenus) attenuata* (Deshayes, 1836) were also identified (Kleemann, 1986a). A wide size range was particularly evident in the dominating *L. aristata* recruits, where shell length varied from about 2-16 mm after maximally 165 days (Figs 1, 3B). Many recruits had grown so fast, reaching a maximal shell length of 16.3 mm, that several specimens could be used in the follow-up “summer” experiments to gain more boring and growth rates (Kleemann, 1990).

The coral tissue of the first three slabs, cut and implanted with boring bivalves, survived both the above-mentioned handling and exposure process. The coral tissue had spread a few millimetres onto the cut sides. The living tissue prevented any settlement by veligers of dead-coral boring lithophagines species there (see discussion). In later prepared slabs, coral tissue did not survive. The tissue of the individual slabs that did not
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**Material and methods**

Zooxanthellate scleractinians, mainly *Siderastrea siderea* (Ellis & Solander, 1786), were collected from Caribbean coral reefs on two occasions by snorkelling in front of the breakwater at Fort Randolph, Colón, Panama. The Atlantic side was chosen because no suitable substrates could be obtained on the Pacific side. Carbonate substrates occurring near the Naos laboratory of the US Smithsonian Institution and at Taboga Islands proved to be already heavily infested and eroded by borers. The sampled corals were transported still wet back to the Naos laboratory the following day (by train: Nov. 1981), or the same day (by car: May 1982), for further treatment. 3.5 to 7-cm-thick slabs were cut using a hand saw from the coral heads. Once in the laboratory, young boring bivalves, by large belonging to lithophagine mussels, were placed into closely fitting, previously drilled and measured artificial holes in the slabs (Kleemann, 1990). After a few days in tanks with running seawater, the inserted bivalves usually had secreted a new byssus functional to their internal stabilization, and thus facilitating their further handling. The slabs were fastened inside mesh-wire cages which were shipped to the wreck at Uribá Island’s East coast and firmly attached inside the iron hull in 1-2 m depth at low tide (Kleemann, 1990: fig. 3). In most cases, the natural upper side of the slabs was oriented up and only exceptionally upside down to save space. The cut sides, facing sideways, were relatively smooth and typically nearly upright in orientation during exposure. The sides with inserted bivalves were oriented toward the centre of the mesh-wire cages. The mesh width, 11-12 mm in diameter, was chosen to keep off larger potential predators such as fish and crabs. The cages also facilitated transport and attachment of the experimental material inside the wreck.

Experiments were performed in two seasons. In the “winter” experiments, corals were brought into the field in two parts on 5 and 9 Nov. 1981, containing 302 and 328 bivalves respectively. Cages with coral slabs were recollected on 17 and 20 April 1982 and had been exposed for 165 respectively 163 days. They were examined first superficially and cleaned by scratching off most of the fouling organisms. This yielded a better view from all sides and of the superficial boring traces. The slabs were then carefully split into pieces with hammer and “slit”-chisel for detailed investigation. In the “summer” experiments, new coral slabs, either free of or with inserted bivalves, and some old coral fragments were exposed for 174 days, from 10 May to 1 Nov. 1982.

**Results**

The recollected mesh-wire cages were partly covered by a dense variety of fouling organisms, as were the slabs inside. The latter had to be cleaned of the larger foulers, including byssozoans, sedentary polychaetes, cirripeds and oysters, by brushing and scraping. This procedure also revealed an intense settlement by veligers (larvae) of boring bivalves during the “winter” experiments. This was evident in the development of numerous boreholes of juvenile lithophagines, corresponding to dozens of recruits per coral slab (Figs 1-5). The most common bivalve species was *Lithophaga (Leiosolenus) aristata* (Dillwyn, 1817); in addition several *L. (Leiosolenus) plumula* (Hanley, 1843) and a few recruits of *L. (Leiosolenus) attenuata* (Deshayes, 1836) were also identified (Kleemann, 1986a). A wide size range was particularly evident in the dominating *L. aristata* recruits, where shell length varied from about 2-16 mm after maximally 165 days (Figs 1, 3B). Many recruits had grown so fast, reaching a maximal shell length of 16.3 mm, that several specimens could be used in the follow-up “summer” experiments to gain more boring and growth rates (Kleemann, 1990).

The coral tissue of the first three slabs, cut and implanted with boring bivalves, survived both the above-mentioned handling and exposure process. The coral tissue had spread a few millimetres onto the cut sides. The living tissue prevented any settlement by veligers of dead-coral boring lithophagines species there (see discussion). In later prepared slabs, coral tissue did not survive. The tissue of the individual slabs that did not
survive probably died early on after exposure to air before being returned into seawater. The effects the decaying coral tissue on the beginning of colonisation and succession of settlers remain unknown. Except for the first three slabs, new borings were dominantly situated on the previously live surfaces. In S. siderea, this surface normally consists of 3-4 mm wide, shallow calices, filled with densely packed, narrow and fine-grained septa (Figs 2, 3A, 5A).

Aggregations of more than five borehole orifices per cm$^2$ were often recorded on other natural surfaces and occasionally occurred on the cut sides of the slabs as well. On the latter, they were mainly situated near the edges towards the natural surface (Fig. 4). Generally, borings in the cut sides of Siderastrea were fewer but still common (Figs 4, 5D).

While numerous new orifices of bivalve borholes were found in the slabs after the “winter” experiments, much fewer new borings and only very young or small recruits were found after “summer” (see discussion, Fig. 5A-D).

A single recruit of a boring crenellid bivalve, Gregariella coarctata (Carpenter, 1856), was also found (Kleemann, 1986b). The specimen measured 6.7-3.6-2.8 mm in length-width-height; its borehole depth was 8.7 mm and the borehole aperture 2 mm wide. For comparison: during the same time, three inserted G. coarctata specimens had grown 5.2 mm in length from 7.9 to 13.1 mm; 5.5 mm from 11.7 to 17.2 mm; and 1.4 mm from 18.6 to 20.0 mm respectively.

**Discussion**

In recent decades, several field experiments were conducted to analyse the contribution of marine borers in the erosional processes of coral reefs and to assess the balance between reef growth and decay. Exposing experimental substrates for different periods of time is one method to obtain results on colonisation, settlement, growth and boring rates as well as on the succession of various bioeroders. In such experiments, calcareous substrates of different origin and size were exposed in the field under different conditions. These conditions (locality, season, depth, etc.), depended on the respective (main) goal, e.g. assessing the amount of accretion versus erosion, general observations on the succession of micro- and/or macro-borers, or the study of specific abrading or boring organism groups.

For studying micro-borers, the experimental substrates can be small and are easily selected of various origin and texture, e.g., ooids (Gektidis, 1997), little pieces of Iceland spar crystals, mollusc shells and reef corals, often trimmed to about one or a few cm in largest dimension (Rützler & Rieger, 1973; Radtke et al., 1996; Vogel et al., 2000; Radtke & Golubic, 2005).

For macro-borer investigations, experimental substrates need to be larger, reducing the choice of suitable material. Several authors used rectangular blocks cut from live Porites in variable sizes, e.g., 7.5 x 5 x 1 cm (Davies & Hutchings, 1983), 5 x 5 x 8 cm and 10 x 10 x 2 cm
(Hutchings et al., 1992), 8 x 4 x 4 cm (Hutchings & Peyrot-Clausade, 2002), and 8 x 8 x 5 cm (Tribollet et al., 2002), 200-500 cm³ (Kiene, 1985), or discs, 1 cm thick and 10 cm in diameter (Kiene, 1989). The experimental substrates are usually pristine in the beginning (Hutchings, 1981, Kiene, 1985; Hutchings & Peyrot-Clausade, 1989; Kiene & Hutchings, 1992; Chazottes et al., 1995; Hassan, 1998; Hutchings & Peyrot-Clausade, 2002; Hutchings et al., 2005; Osborne et al., 2005; Hutchings 2008). Substrates can be brought directly into contact with potential borers (Rützler & Rieger, 1973), or may already carry specimens of boring species (Kleemann, 1986b, 1990). In the present “winter” experiments, mainly live Caribbean *S. siderea* heads were chosen as a substrate for technical reasons. This was mainly because no suitable substrate was available for the experiments at the Pacific side (Uraba Island). There, only very few coral species were present, consisting of small patches of low-growing *Pocillopora* thickets at about 2 m depth and a few massive *Porites* and *Porites* colonies in about 5-7 m. Except the uppermost 10 cm below the live tissue in massive corals and the branch-tips of *Pocillopora*, all other carbonate substrates were already inhabited by various borers (Hutchings 1986) and strongly eroded. The live corals apparently grew only slightly faster than the rate with which they were being eroded from below. The borers probably consisted mainly of boring bivalves and sponges based on briefly lifting larger colonies from the sea floor by hand.

The eastern Pacific *Porites* and *Porites* species serve as hosts for the associated *L. (Leiosoleus) laevigata* (Quoy & Gaimard, 1835; including *L. (Leiosoleus) laevigata* Soet-Ryen, 1955, and of later authors). Particularly *Porites* colonies were additionally riddled by *L. laevigata* bivalves from above (Kleemann 1982: fig. 9). Therefore, live corals were collected at the Caribbean side of Panama for the experiments. The chosen *S. siderea* colonies, somewhat flat-spherical or loaf-shaped, were abundant and big enough to be cut into 5-7 cm thick slabs. Most showed no macro-borers, except on dead parts. One sample contained a single specimen of *L. (Leiosoleus) appendiculata* (Philippi, 1846) (= *Lithoides appendiculata* Orbigny, 1853; Kleemann, 2009).

Recruitment of boring organisms takes place by larvae which settle on the substrate, metamorphose and quickly bore into it (Hutchings, 1986). Micro-borers usually play a role as pioneers of the endolithic community. Their activities facilitate and support the later settlement of macro-borers. The latter are generally handicapped by a seasonally restricted spawning period for reproduction and recruitment once a year. Distinct seasonality of recruitment has also been noted in polychaetes (Hutchings & Murray, 1992; Hutchings & Peyrot-Clausade, 2002). Several polychaete borings were found in the slabs (Figs 1, 7).

In the succession of borers in newly available substrates at Lizard Island, Australian Great Barrier Reef (GBR), polychaetes played an important role in the initial stages of bioerosion (Hutchings, 1981; Hutchings & Bamber, 1985). Kiene & Hutchings (1994) suggested that the age of the experimental substrates may strongly influence the nature of succession by macroborers and the suitability for further recruitment. In the present case, the coral blocks contained an artificial *Lithophaga*-communi-
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Fig. 3. *Siderastrea siderea*. **A**. Another fragment in top view, after removal of larger fouling organisms (many tiny and some bigger sedentary polychaete tubes remain). Note the density and the differing size of borehole orifices, figure-of-eight-shaped in *L. arista* and rectangular in *L. plumula*, two are situated in the right lower corner. Slab width (across) 53 mm. **B**. Same fragment as in Fig. 3A. The split open surface exhibits numerous borings of *L. arista*, several intersecting in intra-specific space competition, aggregated at slab edges. A few polychaete borings in cross section are near the photo bottom. Photos K. Kleemann.
ty from the start of the “winter” experiments. The presence of these adults during the settling season may have triggered the prompt “invasion” of con-specifics and promoted the abundant and successful settlement of recruits. I hypothesise that coral-associated Lithophaga (Kleemann, 1977, 1980, 1982, 1995, 2008; Scott 1988a, b; Kleemann & Hoeksema, 2002; Kleemann & Maestri, 2012) benefit if they can find their proper hosts actively based on chemical traits (“smell”) and also if con-specifics are already present, providing a good chance for a successful maintenance of the population.

At Lizard Island, GBR, polychaetes were important in the early stages of bioerosion of newly available coral substrate. Particularly Polydora species recruited within three months and Dodecaceria species within three to six months of exposure, probably facilitating subsequent recruitment by the sponges, sipunculans and molluscs that dominate ‘mature’ boring communities (Hutchings, 2008). Recruitment of boring polychaetes varies according to the type of substrate available, season and geographical location, along with environmental factors such as light, water quality, depth, and wave exposure (Hutchings, 2008). These factors may influence bivalve recruits as well. Generally, any broader interpretations of local results from bioerosion studies must be undertaken with caution.

Although polychaetes also recruited in the present experiments at Urabá Island, Taboga Islands (Figs 1, 7), lithophagine bivalves were the dominant settlers of the boring community and apparently preferred upper surfaces. The previously live coral surface of the exposed slabs appeared to be most attractive to the veligers (Figs 1-3, 5), followed by an adjacent narrow part on the cut sides (Fig. 4). The surface structure of the sides themselves was rather smooth and thus probably less attractive, providing fewer niches to settle. Beyond the orientation of the surfaces, the direction and velocity of the larvae-carrying water flow may also play a significant role in settlement results (Seilacher, 1969).

Spatfall at Urabá Island was high, particularly by L. aristata, of which a remarkable number survived for at least several weeks to months. This recruitment and survival took place despite strong space competition by mainly fouling and encrusting organisms along with a few other endozoans. The latter included related L. phalina and L. attenuata as well as unidentified polychaetes.

The natural coral surface structure appeared to be more frequently chosen and penetrated than the hand-saw cut sides. The small orifices of bivalve recruits, apart from the artificial boreholes, were detectable only after removing the fouling organisms from the slabs. Settling veligers of boring bivalves were no doubt hindered by this epifaunal space competition, e.g., oysters reaching up to 40 mm in diameter at the end of “summer” exposure (Fig. 6). Overgrowth and closure of already existing boreholes did occur, whereby larger boring bivalves sometimes managed to keep their borehole orifices open with their siphons. The dense aggregations of fouling and encrusting organisms and their rapid growth point
to a high organic turnover rate at Taboga Islands. This may reflect up-welling in the Eastern Pacific, which seemed particularly intense at Urabá Island.

In principle, local larval abundance should correspond with the local population of adults. Local L. aristata, the most common dead-coral boring bivalve, and probably other lithophagine species have an extended settling period, presumably starting already in October. Accordingly, “winter” experiments were colonized immediately over a period of several weeks, as indicated by the size range of recruits (Figs 1, 3B). “Summer” experiments displayed a sensibly lower infestation by lithophagine recruits and, considering their small size, most likely only in the last month, October 1982. A simultaneous, coincidental or brief settlement and undisturbed development of young bivalves should yield a single and narrow size group. Prolonged settlement or, less likely, repeated spatfall over a year will yield a much wider size range of recruits of the same year.

During a parallel study on the settling preferences of Eastern Pacific dead-coral boring bivalves in the “summer” experiments, 1 added uninhabited blocks of various coral texture (apart those with inserted specimens). This was designed to determine the influence of skeletal structure (Kleemann, 1990). Unfortunately, too few recruits were found after the “summer” period for a more detailed analysis but this attempt did provide further proof of bivalve settlement at last within weeks to a few months on newly available substrates. The seasonal influence on L. aristata recruitment was striking. Considering the wide size rage of its recruits after the “winter” period, a very prolonged settling season can be assumed at least for this species. I consider that the size differences in the recruits mainly reflect the varying available amount of time after metamorphosis and less the micro-environmental parameters (food and space competition). Several L. aristata recruits had grown so fast that they could be used in the follow-up “summer”
experiments on boring and growth rates. Shell length reached up to 21 mm after both periods. Considering the observed growth rate and a maximal shell length of about 38 mm, a local population succession rate of one to two years seems plausible (Kleemann, 1990).

The present findings of fast and intense bivalve settlement in newly exposed substrates are in contrast to several publications in which boring bivalves were reported either missing or to occur in small numbers only after one or more years. For example, they were missing after one year at the GBR (Tribolet et al., 2002), after two years at Moorea, French Polynesia (Chazottes et al., 1995). They occurred after two years at Aqaba, Red Sea (Hassan, 1998), after three years at the GBR (Kiene & Hutchings, 1994), as well as after two years at inshore, after four years at outer reef sites at the GBR (Osomo et al., 2005). As documented by these and comparative studies from three more sites at the GBR (Sammaroo & Risk, 1990), boring bivalves seem to be a minor actor in bioerosion. Kiene (1985) found bivalves, Gastrochaena and Lithophaga, usually represented by only one or two borings in substrates after two to three years of exposure; none were identified after one year at Lizard Island, GBR.

Investigating the internal bioerosion of Porites across the Australian GBR, Sammarco & Risk (1990) noted a...
significant decrease with distance offshore; the abundance of bivalves and sponges decreased most significantly, and boring bivalves dominated inshore reefs. The latter can be explained by the fact that *Porites* is a well-known host of *L. (Leiosolenus) laevigata* (Kleemann, 1980, 1982, 1995). Although those authors did not mention the species or its association, the latter can be recognised by the numerous traces illustrated in an x-ray (Sammarto & Risk, 1990: fig. 5B), indicating successive bivalve generations in a sliced coral skeleton from Orpheus Island, only 14 km from shore. There, better nutrition than further offshore can be expected, as discussed above.

Musso (1993) investigated the skeletal degradation after death in three Acropora species at Lizard Island, GBR, Australia. Two of them, *cuneata* (Dana, 1846) and *brueggenmanni* (Brook, 1893) are members of *Isopora*, a previous subgenus of *Acropora*, now in genus *kuehnelii* (Wallace et al., 2007). Being the host of *L. (Leiosolenus) kuehnelii* Kleemann, 1977, *Isopora* species may contain such bivalves (Kleemann, 1977, 1980, 1995). Unsurprisingly, Musso (1993) found bivalves in *I. cuneata* and *I. brueggenmanni*, more in the former, a massive species, and less in the latter, being thin-branched (Kleemann, 1995: pl. 1, fig. 1). Those bivalves, however, were already present and killed together with the hosts at the beginning of Musso’s experiments and did not develop during the experiments as suggested.

The factors that could influence the present results may include the size of the experimental substrates, the occurrence of inserted bivalves, the still living or decaying coral tissue on part of the slabs, and the protection by mesh-wire from larger predators such as fish and crabs. The shaded locality in the wreck probably reduced pressure from algal overgrowth, but not from various other space competitors, particularly from encrusting cirripedes and fast spreading oysters (Fig. 6). In principle, lithophaghe specimens can keep their borehole openings free from lateral overgrowth using chelating secretions from the siphons as they widen the openings during bivalve growth. This is very well demonstrated in coral associates. To some extent, dead coral borers may be successful in preventing lateral overgrowth, if it is not too fast and compact. Veligers probably settle preferably on substrates already inhabited by con-specifics, which may be sensed chemically.

Substrate orientation – flat or steep, bright or shaded as on upper or lower sides of surfaces – and structural differences may influence colonisation patterns of boring bivalves. Note that the colonisation plasticity of *L. aristata* was recently investigated in south eastern Brazilian rocky shores. Lange et al. (2012) found this introduced species in highest densities of 100.0 ± 15.3 m² in 0.5 m at Guaiaba Island, and 143.3 ± 26.1 m² in 3 m at Guaiaba Terminal, Septetiba Bay, in December 2005.

Astonishingly, the living surface of the first three prepared slabs survived the “winter” period and prevented veliger settlement. If the slabs would have been from Caribbean *Porites astroides* Lamarck, 1816, instead of *S. siderea*, veligers of *L. laevigata* would probably have settled as they do on their eastern Pacific hosts, *P. lobata* Dana, 1846, and *P. panamensis* Verrill, 1866. Glynn et al. (1972: p. 505) mentioned *L. (Leiosolenus) lanceolata* Scott-Ryden, 1955 (a junior synonym of *laevigata*; Kleemann, 1980), from gut contents of triggerfish, *Balistes*. The Eastern Pacific appears to be unique in that many bivalves occur in the live part of corals (Highsmith, 1980). Highsmith et al. (1983) noted that lithophaghe bivalves inhabiting *P. lobata* are three times more abundant in the upwelling enriched Gulf of Panama than in the adjacent but less productive Gulf of Chiriqui (mean 4220 versus 1350 m²) and that the bivalves grow approximately twice as fast in the former location. Scott et al. (1989) reported *P. lobata* inhabited by *L. laevigata* and *aristata* (but the latter infests only dead parts of live coral), with mean densities of 3060, 1870, and 480 m² of coral surface in Panama, Costa Rica and Galapagos, respectively. High densities were also noted in *Porites* colonies at Lizard Island, GBR (Kleemann, unpubl.). In the northern Red Sea, even denser populations were observed of three different *L. (Leiosolenus)* species associated with their host species of (1) *Montipora*, (2) *Cynthus* and *Echinopora*, and (3) *Stylocoenia*, respectively (Kleemann, 2008). I corroborate the view of Highsmith (1981) that bioerosional damage to corals depends primarily on the amount of skeletal surface not covered by live tissue. I also support the view that species differences in mean skeletal excavation reflect differences in their ability to protect the skeleton from boring organisms by maintaining live tissue over it. Highsmith et al. (1983) mentioned that Glynn et al. (unpublished) had found boring bivalves more abundant in corals affected by upwelling than at less affected sites at Galapagos Islands. Highsmith (1980) had already found that bioerosion is positively correlated with plankton primary productivity because two major borers, bivalves and sponges, are planktivores. For the role of nutrient availability in bioerosion see also Hallock (1988, and references there-
Fig. 7 Siderastrea siderea. Traces of polychaete borings, 1.5 mm in cross-section, in coral fragment and a right valve of L. arista. Note the septal structure of S. siderea coralites crossed by an old arista bore. Photo K. Kleemann.

Fig. 7 Siderastrea siderea. Perforazioni da policheti con diametro di 1.5 mm in un frammento di colonia comprensiva anche una valva destra di L. arista. Si noti la struttura settata dei coralliti di S. siderea, messa a nudo da una vecchia perforazione di L. arista. Foto K. Kleemann.

in). Comparing bivalve boring and growth rates of lithophagine bivalves in the Caribbean and Eastern Pacific corroborates these findings (Kleemann, 1990).

Conclusions

At Urabá Island, Taboga Islands, Panama, Eastern Pacific, large numbers of lithophagine veligers settled on coral slabs, metamorphosed to bivalves and bored into the substrates within days or at most in a few weeks. Surviving recruits of L. arista, occurring in highest numbers, grew up to 16 mm in length during maximal 165 days in “winter” experiments. During “summer”, recruitment was relatively low and had probably only started in October, before the end of experiments 1 Nov. 1982. The present results are in strong contrast to results from investigations of the succession of macro-borers in experimentally exposed coral substrates conducted at the GBR of Australia, French Polynesia and the northern Red Sea. In all these regions, boring bivalves were either missing or were observed only after years in the succession of settling organisms.
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Riassunto
È stata esaminata la malacofauna contenuta in un campione volumetrico prelevato da un affioramento all’interno dell’azienda agrituristica “Le Conchiglie”, in località Lagune di Sasso Marconi (Bologna). Il campione proviene dal Membro delle Ganzole, Formazione di Monte Adone, attribuita al Piacenziano. L’associazione a molluschi, indicativa del Piacenziano inferiore-medio, è composta essenzialmente da gasteropodi e bivalvi, per un totale di 103 specie. Dal punto di vista paleoambientale, essa è indicativa di mare caldo, di tipo sub tropicale, e di fondali sabbiioso-fangosi con presenza di frazione grossolana, al limite tra i piani infralittorale e circolitatore. Dal punto di vista bioecologico, l’associazione corrisponde ad un ecotono fra le paleobiocenosi SFBC e DC-DE.
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Abstract
[The Pliocene molluscan fauna of “Le Conchiglie”, Lagune di Sasso Marconi (Bologna)]. The molluscan assemblage from a bulk sample from the Member of Ganzole, belonging to the Monte Adone Formation, was studied for biostratigraphic and paleoecological purposes. The Ganzole Member, referred in the literature to the Piacenzian, consists of intercalating sandy and pelitic beds, cropping out in the Sasso Marconi area (Bologna, central Italy). The bulk sample, with a volume of 50 dm³, was subdivided into 48 sub-samples, one of which (C1, volume ca. 1000 cm³) was used for studying the molluscan fauna. The assemblage consists of 103 species of gastropods, bivalves and scaphopods, for a total of 1493 specimens. Its composition is indicative of warm waters, sandy-muddy substrates with debris, between the infralittoral and the circalittoral zones. Biogenetically, the assemblage is indicative of an ecotone between the SFBC and DC-DE paleobiocoenoses. The occurrence of species which became extinct in the Late Pliocene, such as Bathytoma cataphracta (Brochi, 1814), Bela nitida Pavia, 1975, Eulimella subalpina (Sacco, 1892) and Turbofloria plicopapoides (Sacco, 1892), together with the occurrence of Venus foliaceolamellosa (Dillwyn, 1817) and Pellecyra gigas (Lamarck, 1818), whose disappearance seems to be related to the Pliocene cooling, point to an Early-Middle Piacenzian age.
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Introduzione

Inquadramento stratigrafico
Materiale e metodi

Lo studio ha avuto inizio con la raccolta manuale di numerosi esemplari sulla superficie dell'affioramento. Successivamente, è stato prelevato un campione volumetrico di circa 50 dm$^3$ dai livelli pelitico-sabbiosi, il quale è stato suddiviso in 48 sotto-campioni, secondo una matrice 8 x 6. Dei sotto-campioni, ne è stato analizzato quantitativamente solo uno (C1), con un volume pari a circa 1000 cm$^3$. Per facilitare l’analisi, esso è stato diviso in tre frazioni granulometriche: > 5 mm, 5-2 mm, 2-0,5 mm. In totale, sono stati identificati 103 specie di molluschi, per un totale di 1493 esemplari. Le specie sono rappresentate da gastropodi (53 specie), bivalvi (48 specie) e scapolodi (2 specie). In Tab. 1 vengono elencate le specie, con la loro abbondanza (n), dominanza (%), caratteristiche autoecologiche (piano, substrato, biocenosi, habitat e trofismo), significato paleoclimatico e distribuzione stratigrafica.

Per quanto riguarda la distribuzione ecologica, le specie a prevalente distribuzione in un piano sono state conteggiate come indicative di quel piano. Le stesse informazioni, deducibili dalla tabella, sono illustrate nei grafici circolari (Fig. 1) dove, accanto ad ogni settore, sono riportati il numero degli esemplari e la relativa percentuale. Per semplificare l’esposizione, non sono indicati i conteggi delle singole frazioni ma il totale risultante dalle tre frazioni. Anche le specie raccolte solo manualmente, non conteggiate, sono riportate, con le loro caratteristiche autoecologiche, significato paleoclimatico e distribuzione stratigrafica, in Tab. 1.

Recentemente, l’International Commission on Stratigraphy ha posto il limite Plio-Quaternario a 2,59 Ma, per cui il Gelasiano, considerato precedentemente Pliocene superiore, è attualmente Pleistocene inferiore; si è tenuto conto di questo dato per cui si è indicato come Pliocene superiore tutto ciò che i vari autori, precedenti il 2011, segnalavano come Pliocene medio. Analogamente, si è indicato come Pleistocene inferiore le segnalazioni precedenti relative al Pliocene superiore.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Geographic Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nassarius sp.</strong> 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>+ Scutella varicosa</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Selatia laevis</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>FS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Conus antiquiusculus</strong> Bruguière, 1792</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conus sp.</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Bathydiscus cataphracta</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-epiB</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Genuscula conica</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>B-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Crossopleura signoida</strong> (Bonn, 1831)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>CepiB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bela nebulosa</strong> (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>B. nitida</strong> Pavia, 1775</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>C-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>B. turgida</strong> (Forbes in Reeve, 1844)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>B. vulpecula</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mangelia cfr. paparadus</strong> (Deshayes, 1835)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Striobradytus philaeconsium</strong> (Fontannes, 1881)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Subula fasciata</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Terbral acuminata</strong> Borson, 1820</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>SFD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Basiulagata simplex</strong> (Brom, 1831)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>SFD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Pseudalaxies alvordii</strong> (Forresti, 1868)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cylindrella stefani</strong>i (Jeffreys, 1869)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>C-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Cylindrella sp.</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>C-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Eulinella subalpina</strong> (Sacco, 1892)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>C-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Odostomia acute Jeffreys, 1848</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O. conoidea</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Turbolina pliocostellatales</strong> Sacco, 1892</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>T. pluspoides</strong> (Sacco, 1892)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T. cfr. postacutacosta</strong> Sacco, 1892</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turbolina sp.</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Acteon semistriatus</strong> (Féussac, 1822)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relusa truncatula</strong> (Bruguière, 1792)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Clydocithara pliosimplex</strong> Sacco, 1897</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volvaria acuminata</strong> (Bruguière, 1792)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rimicula acuticosta</strong> (Ménard de la Groye, 1811)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philina saba</strong> (Müller, 1784)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rosaria atriculus</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-epiB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clydocithara cylindrica</strong> (Pennant, 1777)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-epiB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actocinla knneri</strong> (Smith, 1871)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scaphander ligarius</strong> (Linnæus 1758)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Studiola subula</strong> (Quoy &amp; Gaimard, 1827)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bivalvia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Geographic Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nucula nitidosa</strong> Winckworth, 1930</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Saccella commutata</strong> (Philippi, 1844)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>I-C-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lambula pilla</strong> (Linnæus, 1758)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>8,8</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Yoldia nitida</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Ambroia multiformis</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anapala diluvius</strong> (Lamarck, 1805)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glycineris insubrica</strong> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Musculus cfr. discors</strong> (Linné, 1767)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td>m-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atrina peculata</strong> (Linné, 1767)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Pteria phaleracea</strong> (Lamarck, 1819)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Pecten flagelliformis var. persulcata</strong> (Sacco, 1897)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-C</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chlamys varia</strong> (Linnæus, 1758)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Anus stage tridestrum</strong> (Brön, 1827)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>I-C-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anomia philippina</strong> Linnaeus, 1758</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limaia subacuticosta</strong> (Montagu, 1808)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limaia</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Ostrovelus</strong> (Linnæus, 1758)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>m-C</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Neocymbaeoidea molybda</strong> (Poli, 1795)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>I-B</td>
<td>FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ <strong>Lucina orbicularis</strong> Deshayes, 1836</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lucinella diadroma</strong> (Linnæus, 1758)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>I-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mysella</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cardium indicum Lamarck, 1818       RM    I-C    SFD    i-sd    f    M-OI
Acathocentrum echnitum (Linnaeus, 1758)       105 7    I-C    DS    PrDE    s-sd    f    M-OI
+ Paracardium cungonum pligepallosa (Sacco, 1899)       1 0,1    I-C    R    s-sd    f    Pl sup
Pecten (Linnaeus, 1758)       7 0,5    I-B    DFS    s-sd    f    M-OI
+ Laevicardium subtrigularum millianumse (Fontannes, 1881)       4 0,3    C    FS    s-sd    f    M-Pleis
Spisula subtruncata (da Costa, 1778)       129 8,6    I-C    SF    PrSFBC    i-sd    f    M-OI
Lutaria angustior Philippi, 1844       10 0,7    m-C    FD    i-sd    f    Pl-OI
Donacia cortica (Poli, 1791)       6 0,4    I    FS    i-sd    f    M-OI
Solen magnificus Pulleney, 1799       13 0,9    m-I    SF    ExclSFBC    i-sd    f    M-OI
Tellina compressa Brochi, 1814       60 4    I-C    DFS    ExcIIC    i-sd    f    M-OI
T. pulchella Lamarck, 1818       42 2,8    m-I    SF    ExcIIC    i-sd    f    M-OI
Donax cfr. trunculus Linnaeus, 1758       1 0,1    m-I    S    ExcIIC    i-sd    f    Pl-OI
Psammobia fervens (Gmelin, 1791)       6 0,4    I-C    DFS    ExcIIC    i-sd    f    M-OI
+ Pers. intradita (Brochi, 1814)       1 0,1    I-C    SFD    i-sd    f    M-Pleis
P. cfr. depressa (Pennant, 1777)       8 0,5    I-C    SFD    i-sd    f    M-OI
Psammobia sp.       11 0,7    i-sd    f    M-OI
Scrobicularia cfr. plana (da Costa, 1778)       8 0,5    I    FS    i-sd    f    Pl-OI
Aba praesatine (Montagu, 1808)       9 0,6    I-B    SD    i-sd    f    M-OI
A. alba (Wood, 1802)       2 0,1    I-C    FSD    i-sd    f    M-OI
Azorius chamaelea (da Costa, 1778)       2 0,1    I-B    DFS    PrDC PrDE    i-sd    f    M-OI
+ Venus foliciaeolorolosus (Dilwijn, 1817)       45 3    I    S    PrSFBC    i-sd    f    M-pl sup
V. max Gmelin, 1791       53 3,6    I-B    FSD    PrVTC    i-sd    f    M-OI
Cernea striatula (da Costa, 1778)       8 0,5    I-B    FS    i-sd    f    Pl-OI
Timolcosa costa (Penna, 1777)       49 3,3    I-C-B    DFS    PrDC PrDE    i-sd    f    M-OI
Dosinia lupius (Linnaeus, 1758)       11 0,7    I-C    SFD    PrSFBC    i-sd    f    M-OI
Dosinia sp.       2 0,1    i-sd    f
+ Pelvegna islandica (Lamarck, 1818)       15 1    I-C    SF    i-sd    f    M-Pleis inf
+ P. gigas (Lamarck, 1818)       2 0,1    I-C    FSD    PrSFBC    i-sd    f    PI sup
Paphia auro (Gmelin, 1791)       53 3,6    I-B    FSD    i-sd    f    Pl-OI
Corbula gibba (Olivi, 1792)       1 0,1    m-B    RD    eb    f    M-OI
Hatella arctica (Linne, 1767)       57 3,6    I-C    SF    i-sd    f    M-OI
Pinnnea glycerina (Von Born, 1778)       1 0,1    I-C    SF    i-sd    f    Pl-OI
Xhelephon dolusa (Turton, 1819)       1 0,1    I-C    SF    i-sd    f    M-OI
Cuspidaria cuspidula (Olivi, 1792)       1 0,1    I-B    FSD    i-sd    CR    M-OI

Scaphopoda
+ Parodontalium specians (Gmelin, 1791)       2 0,1    I-C    S    PrSFBC    i-sd    DP    M-Pleis
Fustaria rubescens (Deshayes, 1829)       13 0,9    I-B    FSD    i-sd    DP    M-OI

**Composizione e significato della fauna**

I bivalvi sono rappresentati dal maggior numero (82%) di resti, mentre gli scaphopodi costituiscono una frazione minima, pari all’1% (Tab. 1, Fig. 1A). Come si può dedurre dalla Tab. 1, le specie del piano circololare sono dominanti (36%); risultano ben rappresentate anche le specie euribate (24%) e quelle a distribuzione infra-circolare (22%). Sono meno numerose le specie indicative del piano infralitorale (17%) e sono presenti con una percentuale trascurabile (1%) le specie del circolatorale-batliale (Fig. 1B). Le specie note per i piani sopral-
torale e mesolitale sono state conteggiate come se fossero presenti a partire dall'infralitorale. Le specie eur- topiche sono rappresentate dal maggior numero (74%) di individui (Tab. 1, Fig. 1C), mentre circa ¼ degli esemplari è indicativo di substrato mobile; è del tutto trascurabile la percentuale (1%) degli esemplari di specie di substrato duro. Per quanto riguarda l'afferenza alle biocenosi di Péret & Picard (1964), gli esemplari delle specie caratteristiche, esclusive e preferenziali, di DC-DE sono stati conteggiati insieme, così come quelli delle specie SFBC-SFS-SVMC (solo Doux cfr. traculal, con un unico esemplare, è esclusivo delle SF e solo Paphia aurea, con solo due esemplari, è preferenziale delle SVMC). Le specie indicative delle biocenosi DC e DE (DC-DE) sono rappresentate dal maggior numero di individui (60%). Sono ben rappresentate (32%) anche le specie affini alla biocenosi SFBC, mentre è presente solo una piccola percentuale (8%) di individui di specie legate alla biocenosi VTC. Solo due specie, Fusinus rostratus e Limatula subauriculata, risultano essere preferenziali VB (Tab. 1, Fig. 1 D). Non sono stati conteggiati tra le 17 esemplari di Amadara dilatata perché questa specie è considerata caratteristica preferenziale sia della biocenosi DC (Porcheddu & al., 1997) sia dei VTC (Di Geronimo & Costa, 1980).

I taxa infaunali (49%) e seminfaunali (40%), prevalentemente vagili o sedentari, sono rappresentati dal maggior numero di esemplari; Corbula gibba è l'unico specie infaunale sessile e Anadara dilatata ed Ambrugia mytiloides sono le sole specie seminfaunali, entrambe bissate. I taxa epifaunali, anch'essi prevalentemente vagili o sedentari, sono poco rappresentati, costituendo solo l'11% dell'associazione (Tab. 1, Fig. 1 E). Dal punto di vista trofico, gli esemplari delle specie detritivore e depositivore sono stati conteggiati come un unico gruppo, così come quelli delle specie filtratrici e sosposivore. Detritivori e depositivori sono rappresentati dal maggior numero di individui (47%), ma sono ben rappresentati (41%) anche i filtratori, mentre l'unic specie sosposivora è Calyptraea chinensis con un solo individuo. I carnivori, nei quali sono stati inclusi predatori, necrofagi e parassiti, sono meno numerosi (12%) e quasi tutti gasteropodi (l'unica specie di bivalvi carnivora è Cuspidaria cuspidata, con un unico esemplare). È del tutto trascurabile la presenza di un esemplare erbo di Clelandella milliari e di un esemplare omnivoro di Gibbula sp. (Tab. 1, Fig. 1F).

Per il 9% degli esemplari esaminati, non si hanno indicazioni paleoclimatiche, poiché mancano dati in letteratura. È noto, tuttavia, che la malaco fauna pleistocenica mediterranea è strettamente affine a quella attualmente vivente lungo le coste dell'Africa Nord-Occidentale (Raffi & al., 1985; Raffi & Monegattii, 1993; Tahanelli, 2008; Chirli & Micali, 2010). In effetti, il 65% degli individui isolati appartiene a taxa indicativi di acque da temperate a tropicali (Tab. 1, Fig. 1 H) ed è ipotizzabile che quelle specie per le quali non si hanno indicazioni paleoclimatiche, possano essere rappresentate da forme euriterme o temperato-tropicali. Riguardo ad Acintha cardia echinata e Dosinia liniini, si precisa che queste specie vivono sia in acque fredde, con esemplari a conchiglia spessa, sia in acque calde, con esemplari a conchiglia sottile (Sami & Taviani, 1996). A “Le Conchiglie”, queste specie sono presenti con un solo sottotipo, spesso frammentati, e possono portare essere riferite ad esemplari viventi in acque calde. Tenendo conto solo degli esemplari di cui si hanno informazioni paleoclimatiche, prevalgono nettamente (71%) quelli di acque temperate-calde, rispetto al 29% di euritermi (Tab. 1, Fig. 1 G).

La grande maggioranza degli esemplari esaminati (85%) appartiene a specie tuttora viventi (Tab. 1, Fig. 1 I). Sono più numerosi gli esemplari di specie estinte nel Pleistocene (66%) rispetto a quelli (34%) di specie estinte nel Pliocene (Tab. 1, Fig. 1 J). Oltre ai molluschi, nel campione esaminato sono presenti biorioci, anellidi, echnidi, crustacei (tra cui, ostracodi e Balanus sp.), otoliti, ittidontoliti e foraminiferi bidentici (Fig. 4 J).

**Discussione**

Nell'associazione dominano le specie che hanno la massima diffusione nel circolatoriale, ma sono ben rappresentate le specie sia curivate, sia comuni ai piani infra- e circalitorale. Ciò, assieme alla presenza, se pur ridotta, delle specie tipiche dell'infralitorale, fa dedurre che l'associazione in esame si sia formata in un'area di transizione fra il piano infralitorale e quello circalitorale (Fig. 1 B).

Prevalgono nettamente le specie euritopiche ed è presente una notevole porzione di organismi di substrato mobile. Comunque, la presenza minima di specie di substrato duro (Fig. 1 C) fa supporre che gli organismi esaminati vivessero in fondali sabbioso-fangosi con presenza di detriti. La prevalenza di specie afferenti alle biocenosi DC e DE e l'abondanza di specie esclusive o preferenziali della biocenosi SFBC (Fig. 1 D), suggerisce che la malaco fauna esaminata corrisponda ad un ecotone fra le paleo-biocenosi SFBC e DC-DE. Questa interpretazione è in buon accordo con il tipo di substrato riscontrato, di natura sabbioso-politica con detriti e con l'indicazione bathimetrica sopra riportata. I taxa vagili e sedentari sono maggiormente rappresentati, sia nell'infra e seminaufana (nettamente prevalenti), sia nell'epifauna, evidentemente perché favoriti rispetto alle forme sessili (Fig. 1 E). Sono maggiormente rappresentati i detritivori/depositivori ed i filtratori, mentre la modesta percentuale di carnivori è costituita quasi esclusivamente, da gasteropodi (Fig. 1 F).

Oltre la metà degli esemplari esaminati appartenne a specie indicative di acque calde o temperate (Figg. 1 G, H). Si deduce che la malaco fauna studiata viveva in un mare caldo, subtropicale. La maggior parte delle specie esaminate è tuttora viva (Fig. 1 I); tra quelle estinte, prevalgono nettamente quelle scomparse nel Pleistocene (Fig. 1 J); questo dato è spiegabile con l'indicazione paleoclimatica so-
praticata ed è imputabile al periodico verificarsi di lunghi intervalli glaciali, nel Quaternario (Hays & al., 1976). La stessa indicazione paleoclimatica è ulteriormente confermata dalla presenza di Venus fusiaceolanelloosa e Pulexycora gigas, raccolta solo manualmente, entrambe non più presenti in Mediterraneo a partire dal Piacenziano medio, in seguito al primo processo di raffreddamento climatico verificatosi intorno a 3.2 -3.0 M.a. (Raffi & Monegatti, 1993; Ferrero & al., 1997).

La malacofoana esaminata permise di delimitare ulteriormente l’inquadramento stratigrafico della Formazione di Monte Adone, tra il Piacenziano inferiore ed il Piacenziano medio. In merito, va segnalata la presenza di Bathytoma cataphracta, Bela nitida, Eulinella subalpina e Turbonilla pilippoidea, tutte estinte nel Pliocene medio (Pavia, 1975) (Fig. 5).

**Sistematica**

Classe Gastropoda Cuvier, 1795  
Famiglia Rissoidae Gray, 1847  
Genere Pusillina Monterosato, 1884  
Pusillina inconspicua (Alder, 1844)  
(Fig. 2A, B)

Rissoa inconspicua Alder, 1844: p. 327, figg. 6-7 (fide Chirli, 2006).  
Turboella inconspicua - Nordsieck, 1968: p. 53, tav. 8, fig. 28.34  
Pusillina cf. inconspicua - Cavallo & Repetto, 1992: p. 54, fig. 108.2  
Pusillina inconspicua - Cossignani & al., 1992: fig. 077  
Pusillina inconspicua - Arduino & al., 1995: p. 43  
Pusillina inconspicua - Chirli, 2006: p. 45, tav. 21, figg. 5-9  

**Osservazioni**


**Famiglia Architectonicidae Gray, 1850**  
**Genere Pseudomalaxis F. Fischer, 1885**  
Pseudomalaxis aldrovandii (Foresti, 1868)  
(Fig. 2G)


**Osservazioni**


**Famiglia Pyramidellidae Gray, 1840**  
**Genere Chrysallida Carpenter, 1857**  
Chrysallida stefanisi (Jeffreys, 1869)  
(Fig. 2H, I)

Rissoa Stefanisii Jeffrey, 1869: p. 208.  
Pyrgulina pygmea var. postica Sacco - Sacco, 1892: p. 651, tav. 1, fig. 113.  
Chrysallida stefanisi - Cecalupo, 1986: p. 263.  
Chrysallida stefanisi - Cossignani & al., 1992: fig. 194.  

**Osservazioni**

Gli esemplari osservati sono del tutto simili a quelli raffigurati dagli autori citati nella sinonimia, tra i quali solo Cavallo & Repetto (1992) illustrano forme fossili. Gli esemplari che riportano in fig. 427b, riferibili alla forma

La malacofauna plicenica di "Le Conchiglie", Lagune di Sasso Marconi (Bologna).
Osservazioni

Si ritiene che l'esemplare esaminato sia da attribuire ad una nuova specie, rinvenuta da Micali (com. pers., 30.10.2010) a Rio Torsero. La località fossilifera di Rio Torsero ricade nelle Biozono MPI 3-MPI 4 (Sosso & Dell’Angelo, 2010), tra 4.5 e 3.6 M.A. (Spaak, 1983).

Genere Eulinella Forbes & M’Andrew, 1846 Eulinella subalpina (Sacco, 1892) (Fig. 2L, M)

Osservazioni


Genere Odostomia Fleming, 1813

Odostomia acuta Jeffreys, 1848 (Fig. 2N)

Odostomia acuta - Sacco, 1892: p. 619, tav. 1, figg. 78-81.

Osservazioni

mente convessi con coste assiali e sutura profonda. Chiirl & Miculi (2011) segnalano questa specie nel Plio-
cene del Piemonte, Liguria, Toscana e Lazio. Se ne se-
ngala la presenza anche in Emilia.

**Turbonilla pliopupoides** (Sacco, 1892)

(Fig. 2T, U)


**Osservazioni**

Gli esemplari esaminati presentano una protoconca la cui spira è inclinata di circa 130° rispetto all’asse della conchiglia, con nucleo infossato per circa metà nella tel-
ecoconca, di tipo “B” secondo Chiirl & Miculi (2011) e corrispondono alla descrizione data da Pavia (1975): “giri un poco più larghi, ricordando in tal senso la mio-
cenica T. (P.) miopupoides (Sacco), ma ugualemente piani con fascia subsubsuturale rigonfia”. Pavia ha rinvenuto questa specie nel Pliocene inferiore e medio piemontese e ligure, anche a Rio Torsero; in questa stessa località, anche Sosso & Dell’Angelo (2010) hanno rinvenuto que-
sta specie che, tuttavia, ritengono (fide Crovato & Mic-
lì, 1999) Pyrgolampros? pliopupoides Sacco, 1892 sinonimo di Turbonilla compressa (Jeffreys, 1884). In effetti, Crovato & Miculi (1992) sostenevano tale sinonimia; tuttavia, se-
condo Miculi (com. pers., 5.3.2012) “T. compressa (ora si usa il nome di T. amoena) differisce da T. pliopupoides per la protoconca più piccola e più immersa nel primo giro di teleoconca, l’accrescimento più veloce dei giri, le co-
ste meno rilevate e gli interspazi meno profondi.” No-
nostante la somiglianza tra le due specie sia notevole, alla luce delle differenze rilevate, si preferisce trattarle come specie distinte.

**Turbonilla cr. postacuticostata** Sacco, 1892

(Fig. 2V, W)


**Osservazioni**

È una specie dotata di una conchiglia subcilindrica, con protoconca di tipo “A2” (sensu Chiirl & Miculi, 2011). Le coste assiali ortocline sono più sottile degli interspazi e si interrompono bruscamente alla base dell’ultimo giro. Gli esemplari esaminati, incompleti, sono stati avvicina-
ti a T. postacuticostata in base alla forma delle coste ed alla chiussura degli interspazi alla periferia abbastanza caratteristiche.

Famiglia Acteonidae d’Orbigny, 1843
Genere Acteon Montfort, 1810
Acteon semistriatus (Férussac, 1822)
(Fig. 2X, Y)


**Osservazioni**

Questa specie è segnalata da Chiirl & Richard (2008) e da Sacco (1897) a partire dal Miocene. Ragagini & Bernie-
ri (2007: p. 149, tab. 1) la indicano come esclusiva del Pliocene.

Famiglia Retusiidae Thiele, 1925
Genere Cyliclmina Monterosato, 1884
Cyliclmina pliosimplex Sacco, 1897
(Fig. 3A, B)


**Osservazioni**

Questa specie è stata indicata da Sacco (1897) come “ab-
bondante” nel Piacenziano di Villavernà ed è stata se-
gnalata, per la prima volta, nel Pliocene toscano, da Chiirl (2013). Con questo lavoro, se ne segnala la pre-
senza anche in Emilia.

Famiglia Philinidae Gray, 1850
Genere Philina Ascanius, 1772
Philina scabra (Müller, 1784)
(Fig. 3C)


**Osservazioni**

I frammenti esaminati comprendono parti del labbro esterno, tipicamente sfiancati, con la caratteristica or-
namentazione che contraddistingue queste specie. Phili-
ne scabra, distribuita dal punto di vista stratigrafico sin dal Miocene, è segnalata, in Italia, solo a partire dal Pliocene (Chiirl & Richard, 2008).
Famiglia Cylichiidae Adams H. & A., 1854
Genere Acteolina Gray, 1847
Acteolina knockeri (Smith, 1871)
(Fig. 3 D-F)

Voluta spirata Brocchi, 1814: p. 644, tav. 15, fig. 12.
Tornatitidea knockeri Smith, 1871: p. 738, tav. 75, fig. 30.
Acteolina knockeri - Aimass & Ferrero Mortarà, 1983: tav. 2, fig. 2.

Osservazioni

Famiglia Creseidei Rampal, 1973
Genere Styliola Gray, 1847
Styliola subula (Quoy & Gaimard, 1827)

Cladura subula Quoy & Gaimard, 1827: p. 233, tav. 8D, figg. 1-3 (Fide Tesch, 1913).
Styliola subula - Di Geronimo, 1970: p. 81, tav. 5, fig. 2.
Styliola subula - Tedeschi & al., 1988: tav. 2, fig. 8.
Styliola subula - Cossignani & al., 1992: fig. 245.

Osservazioni

Classe Bivalvia Linneaeus, 1758
Famiglia Mytilidae Rafinesque, 1815
Genere Musculus Röding, 1798
Musculus cf. discors (Linné, 1767)
(Fig. 3G, H)

Mytilus discors Linne, 1767: p. 1159.
Modiola discors - Hörnes, 1870: p. 349, tav. 45, fig. 5.
Musculus discors - Tebble, 1966: p. 46, tav. 1, fig. k.
Musculus discors - Nordsieck, 1969: p. 35, tav. 5, fig. 23.00.

Osservazioni
Tebble (1966) segnala questa specie nella facies a Coralina officinalis della biocenosi AP di Péris & Picard (1964) e ne indica l’ampia distribuzione nei mari attuali, così come Sacco (1898) l’aveva indicata in quelli terziari. Da- ta la fragilità delle sue valve, gli individui esaminati so-no estremamente frammentati, come si può vedere dall’illustrazione.

Famiglia Pteriidae Gray, 1847
Genere Pteria Scopoli, 1777
Pteria phalenaec (Lamarck, 1819)
(Fig. 3I, J)

Avicula phalenaec Lamarck, 1819: p. 150.
Avicula birundo var. phalenaec - Sacco, 1898: p. 23, tav. 6, figg. 9-13.

Osservazioni
È una specie molto variabile, dal punto di vista morfo-logico, a seconda delle condizioni ambientali (Sacco, 1898), e molto simile all’attuale Pteria birundo (Linneaeus, 1758).

Famiglia Limidae Rafinesque, 1815
Genere Limatula Wood, 1839
Limatula subauriculata (Montagu, 1808)
(Fig. 3K, L)

Pecten subauriculata Montagu, 1808: p. 63, tav. 29, fig. 2.
Limatula cf. subauriculata - Sacco, 1898: p. 17, tav. 5, fig. 10.
Limatula subauriculata subauriculata - Nordsieck, 1969: p. 57, tav. 9, fig. 36.10.
Linatula subauriculata - Rosso, 1979: tav. 17, fig. 19.

Osservazioni
È stato possibile riferire i frammenti esaminati a Linatula subauriculata grazie alla caratteristica ornamentazione data da sottili coste distribuite regolarmente; la costa centrale è leggermente più in rilievo e, all’interno della valva, in corrispondenza di essa, si ha un solco più marcato.

Famiglia Montacutidacea Clark, 1855
Genere Mysella Angas, 1877
Mysella sp.
(Fig. 3M, N)

Osservazioni
Gli esemplari esaminati sono stati attribuiti al genere Mysella in base alla cerniera, piuttosto caratteristica; essi differiscono dalle specie riportate dai vari autori soprattutto per la posizione subcentrale dell’umbone.

Famiglia Cardiidae Lamarck, 1809
Genere Parvicardium Monterosato, 1884
Parvicardium vroomi ploiapilosa (Sacco, 1899)
(Fig. 3O, P)

Parvicardium transversale var. ploiapilosa Sacco, 1899: p. 44, tav. 11, figg. 8-10.
Parvicardium transversale var. ploiapilosa - Merlino, 2007: p. 113, tav. 10, fig. 1.
Osservazioni


Parvicardium scabrum (Philippi, 1844)  
(Fig. 3Q, R)

Cardium nodosum Turton, 1822: p. 186, tav. 13, fig. 8.  
Parvicardium nodosum Philippi, 1844: p. 38, tav. 14, fig. 16.  
Parvicardium nodosum - Nordstieck, 1969: p. 99, tav. 15, fig. 55.05.  
Parvicardium roseum - Cavallo & Repetto, 1992: p. 204, fig. 605.  

Osservazioni

Gli esemplari esaminati hanno una caratteristica forma quadrangolare simile a quella di esemplari attuali delle coste italiane (Spada & Della Bella, 1990).

Genere Laevicardium Swainson, 1840  
Laevicardium subtrigudum millasiense  
(Fontannes, 1881)  
(Fig. 3S, T)

Cardium fragile non Brocchi - Hörnes, 1870: p. 178, tav. 30, fig. 6.  
Laevicardium cyprium Brocchi var. millasiensis Fontannes, 1879-82: p. 99, tav. 6, fig. 11.  
Laevicardium cyprium (Brocchi) - Sacco, 1899: p. 52, tav. 12, figg. 1-3.  
Laevicardium homefragile Rossi Ronchetti, 1954: p. 27.  
Laevicardium subtrigudum millasiense Fontannes - Pavia, 1975: p. 166, tav. 13, fig. 5.  
Laevicardium subtrigudum millasiense - Cavallo & Repetto, 1992: p. 204, fig. 609.  
Laevicardium subtrigudum millasiense - Sosso & Dell’Angelo, 2010: pp. 75, 81.

Osservazioni


Famiglia Mesodesmatidae Gray, 1840  
Genere Donacilla Philippi, 1836  
Donacilla cornea (Poli, 1791)  
(Fig. 3U, V)

Macra cornea Poli, 1791: p. 73, tav. 19, figg. 8-11 (fide Sacco, 1901).  
Donacilla cornea - Sacco, 1901: p. 20, tav. 5, figg. 4-6.  
Donacilla cornea - Cavallo & Repetto, 1992: p. 208, fig. 621.  

Osservazioni

La cerniera massiccia, caratteristica della specie in oggetto, ha permesso l’attribuzione degli esemplari esaminati a Donacilla cornea.

Famiglia Xylophagidae Purchon, 1941  
Genere Xylophaga Turton, 1822  
Xylophaga dorsalis (Turton, 1819)  
(Fig. 4A, B)

Pholas dorsalis Turton, 1819: p. 185 (fide Sacco, 1901).  
Xylophaga dorsalis - Sacco, 1901: p. 55, tav. 13, figg. 50-53.  
Xylophaga dorsalis - Cossignani & al. 1992: fig. 397.

Osservazioni

Data la fragilità di questa specie, gli individui esaminati sono estremamente frammentati, come si può vedere dall’ illustrazione.

Famiglia Cuspidariidae Dall, 1886  
Genere Cuspidaria Nardo, 1840  
Cuspidaria cuspidata (Oliv, 1792)  
(Fig. 4C, D)

Tellina cuspidata Oliv, 1792: p. 101, tav. 4, fig. 3 (fide Sacco, 1901).  
Cuspidaria cuspidata - Sacco, 1901: p. 123, tav. 26, figg. 31–34.  
Cuspidaria cuspidata - Pavia, 1975: tav. 14, figg. 8–9.  
Cuspidaria cuspidata - Cavallo & Repetto, 1992: p. 228, fig. 687.  
Cuspidaria cuspidata - Sosso & Dell’Angelo, 2010: pp. 78, 83.

Osservazioni

Gli esemplari esaminati, se pure frammentati, sono attribuibili a Cuspidaria cuspidata soprattutto per le caratteristiche robuste linee di accrescimento.

Classe Scaphopoda Bronn, 1862  
Famiglia Dentaliidae Children, 1834  
Genere Parodontalium Cotton & Godfrey, 1933  
Parodontalium sexangulum (Gmelin, 1791)  
(Fig. 4E, F)
Dentalium sexangulum Gmelin, 1791: p. 3739.
Dentalium sexangulum Schroeter - Sacco, 1897: p. 92, tav. 7, figg. 48-69.
Dentalium sexangulum - Chirol, 1986: tav. 19, figg. 4-6.
Dentalium sexangulum - Cavallo & Repetto, 1992: p. 230, fig. 693.
Paradentalium sexangulum - Sosso & Dell’Angelo, 2010: pp. 70, 72.

Osservazioni


Genere Fustiaria Stoliczka, 1868

Fustiaria rubescens (Deshayes, 1825)  
(Fig. 4G-I)

Dentalium rubescens Deshayes, 1825: fig. n. t. 363, tav. 16, figg. 23-24 (fide Sacco, 1897).

Pseudantalis rubescens - Sacco, 1897: p. 111, tav. 10, figg. 21-23.

Fustiaria rubescens - Caprotti, 1979: p. 241, tav. 12, figg. 3-4.

Pseudantalis rubescens - Pavia, 1991: p. 128, tav. 6, fig. 11.


Fig. 4. A, B. Xylophaga dorsalis (Turton, 1819). C, D. Cuspidaria cuspidata (Olivi, 1792). E, F. Paradentalium sexangulum (Gmelin, 1791). G-I. Fustiaria rubescens (Deshayes, 1825). J. Others taxa in assemblage. Scale bars = 1 mm.
Osservazioni

È una specie indicativa di accumulo di materia organica (Porcheddu & al., 1997) e, nel Pliocene, era più diffusa a maggiore profondità (Bersanconi, 1996). È lo scafopode maggiormente rappresentato a "Le Conchiglie".
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La malacofauna peliocica - "Le Conchiglie di Le Sasso di Martino (Bologna)"


Record of marine warm-water species and of other “Pliocene survivors” from the Early Pleistocene of Latium (Central Italy)
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Abstract

This paper describes a marine malaco fauna from the Early Pleistocene deposits of the Tiber Valley (Latium, Italy). The fossil assemblage includes some warm-water taxa: Ficus subintermedia (d’Orbigny, 1852), Svel tia varicosa (Brochii, 1814), Metula mitraeformis (Brochii, 1814) and other molluscan species considered extinct in the Pliocene: Nassarius clathratus (Born, 1788), Turritella aspera (Mayer, 1866, Sismonda m.s.) and Belidaphne semi costata (Bellardi, 1847). The survival of warm-water taxa at the beginning of the Calabrian age could be related to their greater tolerance to a climate regime of higher seasonality, since the Early Piacenzian.

Key words
Central Italy, Tiber Valley, Early Pleistocene, molluscs, palaeoclimatology.

Riassunto

Viene descritta una malaco fauna marina raccolta in depositi della media valle del Tevere, a Nord di Collevecchio (Rieti). Tali sedimenti sono attribuibili al Pleistocene inferiore (Calabriano p.p.). La malaco fauna raccolta, con 127 specie finora identificate, contiene alcune specie ad affinità calda: Ficus subintermedia (d’Orbigny, 1852), Metula mitraeformis (Brochii, 1814), Svel tia varicosa (Brochii, 1814) ed altre specie ritenute estinte nel Pliocene: Nassarius clathratus (Born, 1788), Turritella aspera (Mayer, 1866, Sismonda m.s.) e Belidaphne semi costata (Bellardi, 1847). Tali specie sono state raccolte in livelli di sabbie fini, limose, giallastre, immediatamente al di sotto di petali sabbiosi contenenti alla base una microlauna a Bulimna einea Seguenza, 1862, marker del Pleistocene inferiore. Si esclude la natura rimaneggiata delle specie in esame, per via del buono stato di conservazione dei gusci. La soprawivenza di specie ad affinità calda, o comunque segnalate sinora solo nel Pliocene, può essere spiegata alla luce dell’evoluzione paleoclimatica del Mar Mediterraneo, interessato, a partire da circa 3,1-3,0 milioni di anni fa, dal passaggio da un regime climatico tropicale ad un regime temperato caldo, con una sempre più marcata stagionalità.
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Italia centrale, Valle del Tevere, Pleistocene inferiore, molluschi, paleoclimatologia.

Introduction

The fossiliferous Late Cenozoic-Quaternary deposits of the Tiber Valley (Latium, Central Italy) have been the subject of many investigations in the past (i.e. Cerulli Irelli & De Angelis d’Ossat, 1898; Tuccimei, 1880, 1889; Terrenzi, 1886, etc.). Recent fossil collectings, carried out in the sandy and sandy-muddy deposits cropping out in the Campana Stream Valley (coordinates: 42°21′18″N; 0°6′20″E), north of Collevecchio (Fig. 1), provided an interesting malaco fauna including some warm-water taxa as discussed in the present work.

The main feature of the Neogene-Quaternary Mediterranean malaco fauna evolution is a marked faunal impoverishment in taxonomic diversity, since the Pliocene, with the progressive disappearance of tropical taxa. This process has been evidenced since the late 70’s of the past century (Marasti & Raffi, 1977; Raffi et al., 1985; Raffi & Monegatti, 1993; Monegatti & Raffi, 2001). The faunal impoverishment is related to the progressive shift, in the Mediterranean Sea, from tropical conditions during the Zanclean-early Piacenzian, to the present-day warm-temperate conditions.

Monegatti & Raffi (2001) defined four Mediterranean Pliocene Molluscan Units (MPMUs), each bounded by disappearance events of warm-water bivalves, which can be related to distinct periods in the climatic-oceanographic evolution of the Mediterranean (Fig. 2). However, for most species of gastropods is not yet possible to provide a detailed picture of the times of disappearance with respect to these faunal units (Monegatti & Raffi, 2001; Monegatti et al., 2002).

The Calabrian Mediterranean malaco fauna is characterized by the gradual and discontinuous appearance of “Boreal Guests” and the disappearance of Pliocene warm-water survivors (Raffi, 1986).

The setting of the middle Tiber Valley marine molluscs faunas in this palaeoclimatic scenario, however, is made difficult by the lack of Boreal Guests records in over 150 years of researches. On the contrary, several warm-water molluscan taxa are here reported from the Tiber Valley deposits.
Geological setting and biostratigraphy

The Chiani-Tevere Basin (Barberi et al., 1994) runs parallel to the present-day Tyrhenian coastline of Central Italy, bordered by the M. Cetona-M. Rufeno-M. Razzano ridge on the west and the Narnese-Amerina Chain and the Sabini Mountains on the east. The basin is subdivided into two sectors by the NW-SE M. Soratte-M. Cornicolani structural high. In the area, two sedimentary cycles, one Late Zanclean-Early Gelasian and the other Late Gelasian-Early Calabrian, have been recognized (Giretti & Mancini, 2003; Mancini et al., 2004). The investigated succession belongs to the Late Gelasian-Early Calabrian cycle, in particular to Chianitevere Fm. (Giretti & Mancini, 2003; Mancini et al., 2004). It is comprised between the first and the second prograding clastic wedge, according to the stratigraphic scheme (Fig. 3) proposed by Giretti & Mancini (2003).

The most complete succession crops out on the right bank of the Campana River (Fig. 4). At the base (127 m above sea level) the section includes a 3 m thick level of yellowish, silty fine sands of shallow water deposition, which gradually gives places to blue-grey sandy pelites. The sandy pelitic level, about 21 m thick, become more sandy upwards, where lignite fragments are frequent. A thin, golden, sandy layer (10 cm thick) in the uppermost part of the sandy pelites, with erosional contact and containing clay chips, is considered as a storm layer and a marker bed in the Campana Valley.

The sequence indicates a shallowing trend, from outer shelf (circalittoral) to inner shelf (infralittoral) settings (Carboni, pers. comm.). The section is closed by yellow sands displaying hummocky cross-bedding, and finally medium sands with flat lamination pointing out a transition from the shoreface to the foreshore environment. Because of the absence or scarcity of most reliable biostratigraphic tools in this part of the Chiani-Tevere Basin, such as calcareous nannofossils or planktonic foraminifers, biostratigraphy is based on benthic foraminifers (Di Bella, 1999; Di Bella et al., 2002).

Fortunately, the muddy horizons of the studied section contains some species, such as Bulimina marginata d’Orbigny, 1826, Bulimina elegans marginata Fornasini, 1902 and Bulimina etuca Seguenza, 1862 (Carboni, pers. comm.). Bulimina etuca is considered a good marker for the Calabrian, though its first occurrence is not perfectly calibrated (Vaiani & Venezia, 1999). In the Vrica section, GSSP of the Calabrian Stage this bioevent is placed between the “m” and “n” sapropelic layers (Cita, 2010). According to the geochronologic scale of Lourens et al. (1996) it is dated 1.67 Ma.
can Database, Check List of Marine Mollusca (CLEM-AM) and gastropods.com.

Results
The list of the molluscs collected and identified has been reported in Tab. 1. The upper part of the yellowish silty sands shows a rich molluscan assemblage, with frequent articulated bivalve shells belonging to *Megaxinus transversus* (Bornn, 1831) and *Lucinoma boreale* (Linné, 1797). The molluscan assemblage from the upper two meters of the overlying blue-grey sandy pelites contains frequent *Venus nux* Gmelin, 1791, *Acanthocardia echinata* (Linné, 1758), *Arquitecten opercularis* (Linné, 1758) and *Nassarius gigantulus* (Michelotti, 1840, Bonelli m.s.), together with *Pelecyon brocchii* Deshayes, 1836, *Miaucoida varia* (Linné, 1758) and *Glossus hummanceus* (Linné, 1758). In the middle and upper parts of the sandy pelites *Ostrea lanellosa* Brocchi, 1814, *Modiolus barbatins* (Linné, 1758) and *Spisula subtruncata* Da Costa, 1778 become dominant, indicating a decrease in the palaeobathimetry and the transition from circalittoral to infralittoral environments. The molluscan assemblages contain three warm-water species, namely *Ficus subinterna* (d’Orbigny, 1852), *Sveitia varicosas* (Brocchi, 1814) and *Metula nitraeformis* (Brocchi, 1814). Furthermore, some species so far considered extinct in the Pliocene, are also present: *Nassarius clathratus* (Born, 1788), *Turritella aspera* (Mayer, 1866), *Sismonda m.s.*) and *Beladaphne semicostata* (Bellardi, 1847). Due to the pristine conditions of these species, the hypothesis of their reworking from older levels can be excluded. The warm-water meaning of the genera *Ficus*, *Sveitia* and *Metula* can be inferred from the geographical distribution of their living representatives, and basing on the paleobiogeographical distribution of fossil species in the eastern Atlantic-Mediterranean areas, from the Miocene to the present-day.

**Ficus subinterna** (D’Orbigny, 1852)

(Fig. 5M, O)

WoRMS reports 9 extant species belonging to the genus *Ficus*. The genus has a worldwide distribution, between 35°N and 35°S latitude.

According to Landau et al. (2004b) in the Middle-Late Miocene of the Mediterranean Sea two species were present: *F. subinterna* and *F. geometra* (Born, 1825), whereas in the northern European basins the most frequent species was *F. conulata*. One or possibly two distinct species occurred in the Miocene of Germany. The specimens from the Parateuthis deposits attributed to *F. geometra* may be related to other species.

In the Early Piacenzian, only two species were still present: *F. subinterna*, reported from Northern Italy (Brunetti & Vecchi, 2005) and Portugal (Landau et al., 2004b), and *F. geometra*, limited to the Mediterranean (Sacco, 1890; Caprotti, 1973).

According to Landau et al. (2004b), who reviewed the genus *Ficus* in the European Neogene, the Mediterranean Pliocene populations generally referred to as *Ficus con-

---

**Material and methods**

Fifty samples were collected from the section for the micropaleontological studies. The samples were oven dried at 40°C and sieved through a 0.125 mm mesh. Macrofauna is hand picked on the outcrop and are housed in the author’s collection.

The list of extant species belonging to the genera *Ficus* Roeding, 1798, *Sveitia Jousseaume*, 1887 and *Metula H. Adams & A. Adams*, 1853 are from the World Register of Marine Species - WoRMS (Appelants et al., 2012). Data on the present-day geographic distributions of the species were obtained from several online databases: Malacolog Version 4.1.1: Western Atlantic Marine Mollusca (Rosenberg G., 2009), OBIS Indo-Pacific Mollus-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronostratigraphy</th>
<th>Biostratigraphy</th>
<th>Litostratigraphy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holocene</td>
<td></td>
<td>Recent alluvial deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat. Versilian 0.01 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sipiccciano unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med. Tyrrenian 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rio Fratta unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionian 0.95</td>
<td>G. transversa excelsa H. ballica</td>
<td>GRAFFIGNANO unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicilian 1.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Civita Castellana unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emilian 1.48</td>
<td>G. cariaconensis B. elegans marginata</td>
<td>Mt. Cimino volcanic and volcano-sedimentary successions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calabrian 1.81</td>
<td>G. inflata</td>
<td>Giove formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelasian 2.60</td>
<td>G. aemiliana</td>
<td>Santa Maria di Ciciliano formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piacenzian 3.60</td>
<td>A. helicinus</td>
<td>Chiani-Tevere formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanclean 5.30 Ma</td>
<td>G. punctulata suXX</td>
<td>Civitella San Paolo member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3** Litostratigraphic, biostratigraphic and chronostratigraphic scheme of the middle Tiber Valley area (after Mancini & Girotti, 2003, modified).

**Fig. 3** Schema litostratigrafico, biostratigrafico e cronostasigraphico della media Valle del Tevere (da Mancini & Girotti, 2003, modificato).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Silty sand</th>
<th>Clayey silt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Nicula placentina</em> Lamarck, 1819</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nucula sulcata</em> Bronn, 1831</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccella communis</em> (Philippi, 1844)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leuhtulius pylla</em> (Linné, 1767)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dolasia mendax</em> (Mengerini in Appelius, 1870)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Arca noae</em> Linné, 1758</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ambrosia multiformis</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anadara darwini</em> (Mayer, 1868)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anadara pezentina</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Striella lactea</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Linopsis minuta</em> (Philippi, 1836)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glycymeris insularis</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Modiolus barbatus</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pododesmus patelliformis</em> (Linné, 1761)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ostrea lateralis</em> Brocchi, 1814</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Megalithus transversus</em> (Bronn, 1831)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myrtia spinifera</em> (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lucinoma borealis</em> (Linné, 1797)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chama gra unlata</em> Linné, 1758</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chama pleurivina</em> Defrance, 1817</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudochama gra unlata</em> (Lamarck, 1819)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cardita calyculata</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cardita antiquata</em> pezentina (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glaucus intermedius</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cardiun indicus</em> Lamarck, 1818</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acathocardia bianconiana</em> (Cocconi, 1873)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acanthocardia eximia</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plagocardium papillosum</em> (Poli, 1791)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trachycardium multicostatum</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mactra stultorum</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spisula subtruncata</em> (Da Costa, 1778)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tellina cl. donacina</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tellina compressa</em> Brocchi, 1814</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tellina serrata</em> (Renier, 1804)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Atra pristina</em> (Montagu, 1808)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solemyvus scopula</em> (Turton, 1822)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Azorinus chamaelesus</em> (Da Costa, 1778)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glossus humosus</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Venus nas cara</em> (Camer, 1791)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chamelea gallina</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chamelea lamellosa</em> (De Rayneval, Van den Hecke &amp; Ponzi, 1854)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Timorina oratia</em> (Pennant, 1777)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gauldings minima</em> (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pelecy tropic</em> (Deshayes, 1836)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corbula gibba</em> (Olivi, 1792)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Panopea glucina</em> (Born, 1778)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Classis GASTROPODA</em></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diodora italica</em> (Defrance, 1820)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cleandella miliaris</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diloma patulsium</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bolma rugosa</em> (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cerithium variocostatum</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cerithium vulgatum</em> (Bruguière, 1792)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bittium latreillei</em> (Payraudeau, 1826)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turritella tornata</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turritella spirata</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turritella aspera</em> (Mayer, 1866 ex Sismonda m.s.)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Turritella tricarinata</em> (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Silty Sand</td>
<td>Clayey Silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvania beani (Hanley in Thorpe, 1844)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aporrhais pespeclani (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aporrhais attingeriana (Risso, 1826)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calyptraea chinesis (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crepidula gibosa Defrance, 1818</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crepidula unguiformis Lamarck, 1822</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenophora crispa (König, 1825)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capnites inornatus (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petaloconus glomeratus (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpulorbus arenaria (Linné, 1767)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trivia arctica (Pulteney, 1789)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erato voluta (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochlis rarepunctata rarepunctata (Sasso, 1827)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euspira catena (Da Costa, 1778)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euspira macilenta (Philippi, 1844)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasciolaria catena (Da Costa, 1778)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phalium saburon (Bruguière, 1792)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizoniopsis bilineata (Hoernes, 1848)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius incrassatus (Michelotti, 1840, Borelli m.s.)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius incrassatus (Stroem, 1768)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius serraticosta (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius musieus (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius maculatus (Brocchi, 1831)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius chiliratus (Born, 1788)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius mutabilis (Linné, 1758)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius lineolatus (Dillevyn, 1817)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitra cf. fusiformis (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vexillum pyramidalis (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vexillum eburneum (Montagu, 1811)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sveita coricina (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus cf. mediterraneus Hwass in Bruguère, 1792</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadropleura septarigalvis (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bela brevistoma (Philippi, 1844)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agathotoma angusta (Jan, 1842)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsella attenuata (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsella unifasciata (Deshayes, 1835)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neogurineus hispidulus (Jan in Bellardi, 1847)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphitoma echinata (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belulaphane semincola (Bellardi, 1847)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clathurella gracilis (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyramidella plicosa Bronn, 1838</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odontonia conoides (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbonilla rafa (Philippi, 1836)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retusa truncatula (Bruguère, 1792)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypricreta umbilicata (Montagu, 1803)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangicula auriculata (Ménard de la Groye, 1811)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangicula buccinea (Brocchi, 1814)</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classis SCAPHOPODA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antalis inaequicostata Dautzenberg, 1891</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiphon rubescens (Deshayes, 1825)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1. Molluscan species from the study sequence.

Tab. 1. Elenco della malacofauna nella successione studiata.
**Sveltia varicosa** (Brocchi, 1814)  
(Fig. 5G, H)

WoRMS reports 6 extant species for the genus *Sveltia*, with an intertropical distribution, between 30°N and 30°S. In the Middle-Late Miocene, *Sveltia* was present with four species at least in the North Sea Basin, five or six species in the Atlantic basins of France, and six species at least in the Mediterranean (Brunetti et al., 2011). In the Zanclean, *Sveltia* is represented by only two species in the Mediterranean, *S. varicosa* and *S. lyrata* (Brocchi, 1814), and by a single species in the North Sea, *S. jonkaiiriana* (Nyst, 1835) (Brunetti et al., 2011). 

*S. varicosa* was recently recorded in the Calabrian of Rhodes (Chiri & Linse, 2011), whereas *S. lyrata* is known from the Calabrian of Tuscany (Menesini & Ughi, 1983). As a living species it is known from West Africa (Verheeken, 2007). In the Po basin the extinction of *Sveltia varicosa* occurred in MPL5a zone, which is referable to the MPMU2 (Monegatti et al. 1997; Monegatti et al., 2002). According to Brunetti et al. (2011), this species was very common in the Zanclean-Piacenzian, rare in the Gelasian, and not present in the Calabrian.

**Metula mitraeformis** (Brocchi, 1814)  
(Fig. 5C, D)

According to WoRMS, *Metula* includes 28 living species distributed between 30°N and 35°S latitude. In the European Middle-Late Miocene, *Metula* is represented by *M. mitraeformis* with a distribution limited to the Mediterranean Basin, and by a similar form, or perhaps conspecific, *M. submitraeformis* d’Orbigny, 1852, known from Aquitaine, Germany, Holland and Vienna basins (Malatesta, 1974). 

*M. mitraeformis* was also present in the Mediterranean Pliocene, in the MPL6 zone, related to MPMU4 (Monegatti et al., 1997). This is the first Calabrian record for this species. Bouchet (1988) considered *M. mitraeformis* the direct ancestor to *M. africana* Bouchet, 1988, described from off West Africa. For the following species, the data on their stratigraphic distribution are scarce, not allowing a well defined palaeoclimatic meaning to be assessed. Their distribution was thought to be limited to the Piacenzian (*Belactaphus semicostata*), or the Gelasian (*Nassarius clathratus* and *Turritella aspera*). 

**Nassarius clathratus** (Born, 1788)  
(Fig. 5I, L)

According to Brunetti & Vecchi (2005), this species appeared in the Early Miocene of France. In the Pliocene it
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reached a pan-European distribution, from England to Mediterranean, with a last occurrence in the Early Pleistocene of Sicily (Monte Navone), where the species was recorded by Di Geronimo (1969). According to Monegatti et al. (1997), *Nassarius clathratus* was still present in the Gelasian (MPL6 zone), related to MPMU4. According to Di Geronimo (1975), the specimens from Monte Navone show the shell characters of *N. clathratus* f. *obtusepercostata* Sacco, 1890 (= *N. clathratus*), but he remarked some constant differences in the protoconchs. Size and shape of protoconch are important characters for identification in the “*N. clathratus* species group” (Adam & Glibert, 1976), as well as in most gastropods in the modern systematic views. The identity of the M. Navone specimens thus remains doubtful.

**Turritella aspera** (Mayer, 1866 ex Sismonda m.s.)

(Fig. 5E, F)

According to Monegatti et al. (1997), *Turritella aspera* is still present in the MPL5b zone and the related mollusc unit (MPMU3). Since its appearance in the Late Miocene, its distribution has been limited to the Mediterranean (Malatesta, 1974; Landau et al., 2004a). Among the fossil and extant Mediterranean Turritellidae, only *T. tricarriata* Brocchi, 1814 is markedly similar to *Turritella aspera*. The former is an extant species, typical to soft, muddy bottoms where the Coastal Terrigenous Muds bioenosis thrives (Pérès & Picard, 1964). According to Allmon (1992), the extinction pattern of the Early Neogene turritellinae in North and Central America was strictly controlled by changes in nutrients, rather than by temperature. Probably, also for the European species *T. aspera* other limiting factors, in addition to temperature, may have played a determinant role in its extinction.

**Belidaphne semicostata** (Bellardi, 1847)

(Fig. 5A, B)

*Belidaphne semicostata* has a controversial systematic arrangement. Originally referred to *Raphitoma* Bellardi, 1847, it has been moved among several genera: *Homotoma* Bellardi, 1875, *Defrancia* Millet, 1827, *Belardiella* Fischer, 1883 and *Daphnella* Hinds, 1844 (Scarponi, 2012, pers. comm.). Recently, Vera-Pelaez (2002) erected *Raphitoma semicostata* Bellardi, 1847 as type species of the new genus *Belidaphne* Vera-Pelaez, 2002, including few fossil species from Italy and Spain. Two species, *Belidaphne desmoulii* (Bellardi, 1847) and *B. sulibbensis* Vera-Pelaez, 2002, are present in the Zanclean-Early Piacenzian of Spain (Vera-Pelaez, 2002), whereas *B. semicostata* and *B. desmoulii* are present in the Zanclean-Piacenzian of Italy (Bellardi, 1847; Brugnone, 1862; Cipolla, 1914; Chirli, 1997).

**Discussion**

Considering the Early Pleistocene age of the sequence, as indicated by the presence in the blue-grey sandy pelites of *Balaminia etnea*, and the lack of reworking as evidenced by the good preservation, the presence of warm-water taxa can be explained with their survival up to the Early Pleistocene (Calabrian). The faunal units MPMU3 and MPMU4 include a typical Mediterranean fauna, even if some warm-water taxa are still present (Monegatti & Raffi, 2001). According to Raffi (1986), the coexistence of Boreal Guests and subtropical taxa in the Mediterranean Early Pleistocene may be better explained by the establishment of a higher seasonality climate regime, rather than by a drop in temperature. In particular, this hypothesis is mainly related to the first phase of Boreal Guests in the Calabrian (Santerian substage).

The discovery of warm-water molluscs taxa in the Early Pleistocene (Calabrian p.p.) fossil assemblages of the Tiber Valley represents an element of newness in the knowledge of Pliocene-Pleistocene marine malacofaunas of Central Italy. Three species, *F. subintermedia*, *S. varicosa* and *M. mitraeformis*, though belonging to tropical-warm temperate affinity families, endured the Pliocene cooling phases which, since 3.0-3.1 Ma, caused the extinction of most warm-water taxa and marked the transition from a climate regime with low amplitude oscillations to a regime with higher amplitude variations (Monegatti & Raffi, 2001). For these species, a greater thermal tolerance can be assumed, compared with the other species of their respective families. The survival in the Early Calabrian of these marine molluscs can be explicaded with the model of high seasonality outlined by Raffi (1986) and with the Mediterranean sea-surface paleotemperatures proposed by Monegatti & Raffi (2001).

Finally, the finding of *F. subintermedia*, *S. varicosa*, *M. mitraeformis*, *T. aspera*, *N. clathratus* and *B. semicostata* in Calabrian deposits enlarge the list of the Mediterranean Pliocene survivors.
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On *Cardium textum* Bronn, 1831 (Bivalvia, Cardiidae)

Rafael La Perna (✉) & Mauro D’Abramo

**Abstract**

The species known as *Nemocardium striatum* (Brocchi, 1814) is based on a misidentification. Recently, a replacement name was proposed for it, *Nemocardium italicum* La Perna & D’Abramo, 2011, but the finding of an older valid synonym, *Cardium textum* Bronn, 1831, demands a nomenclatural update. The correct species’ name is *Nemocardium textum* (Bronn, 1831).

**Key words**
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**Riassunto**


**Parole chiave**


**Introduction**

According to a recent revision of the genus *Nemocardium* Meek, 1876 from the Pliocene and Pleistocene of Italy, by La Perna & D’Abramo (2011), *Cardium striatum* Brocchi, 1814 has been misidentified in the past and modern literature, as *Nemocardium striatum* (Brocchi, 1814) (Sacco, 1899; Cerulli-Irelli, 1908; Cavallo & Repetto, 1992; Monegatti & Raffi, 2001; Tabanelli, 2008). No material of *C. striatum* is present in the Brocchi’s collection, but a critical review of the original description and illustration (Brocchi, 1814: p. 507, pl. 13, fig. 5) provides strong evidence that it is a *Laevicardium* species, most probably a juvenile of *L. crassum* (Gmelin, 1791). The species which has been reported as *Nemocardium striatum* actually belongs to *Nemocardium*, but it was described by Calcara (1841: p. 28, pl. 1, fig. 7) from the Pliocene of Altavilla (Sicily) as *Cardium striolatum*. Due to the pre-occupied status of *C. striolatum* Calcara, 1841 by *C. striolatum* Link, 1807, La Perna & D’Abramo (2011) proposed *Nemocardium italicum* as a replacement name. Further literature searches allowed an older, valid synonym to be brought to light, *Cardium textum* Bronn, 1831, as discussed in the present work.

**Results and discussion**

*Cardium textum* was described by Bronn (1831: p. 102; species n. 589) on two specimens from “Cq.” and “And.”, the former standing for Castell’Arquato, the latter for Valle Andona, two classical Pliocene localities in Northern Italy. The “blue clays” cropping out in the area of Castell’Arquato and Lugagnano (Piacenza) are of Middle Pliocene age and represent the historical Piacentian stratotype (Rio et al., 1988; Lirer & Iaccarino, 2011). For this locality, Bronn (1831) indicated the stratigraphic level “d” (p. 5: “Fänergere Gröbkalk, gelb, sandig, über vorigem”), probably pointing to the upper part of the Piacentian sequence, consisting of sandy beds (Lirer & Iaccarino, 2011: fig. 39). Material of “*Nemocardium italicum*” from Castell’Arquato was examined and illustrated by La Perna & D’Abramo (2011). Valle Andona is the type locality of the disused Astian stage, whose historical stratotype mainly consists of sandy beds (Lirer & Iaccarino, 2011: fig. 43), Early to Middle Pliocene in age (Sampò et al., 1968).

Bronn described his species as follows: “*C. testa cordiformi inflato-globosa, radiatum striata; striis subtilissimis, numerosissimis, simplicibus: anterioribus texto-undulosis, medianis rectis, posterioribus crassis subtilissime papillosis; interstitionis punctatis, marginibus crenulatis. Länge und Breite 6*”. Streifen des hinteren Drittheils über 30, des mittleren und vorderen gegen 150.”.

This description fits well with *Nemocardium italicum*, but a confirmation of the identity of *C. testa* and its conspecificity with *N. italicum* was given by the examination of two valves from the Bronn collection, on high resolution photographs (Fig. 1). The two valves are stored in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, with the catalogue number IPBV-6874 and are labelled “Castell’Arquato”. It is not
known if they actually are syntypes of *Cardium textum*, but it is worth remarking that Castell'Arquato is one of the two localities reported in the original description. *Cardium textum* was discussed by Pantanelli (1893), on Pliocene material from the malacological collections housed in the Museo di Mineralogia e Geologia of the Modena University. He reported and commented the original description, focusing on the sculpture: "...non è esatto che le costicine anteriori siano ondulate, esse sono rettilinee come in tutti i Cardium, però le papille distanti fra loro dalle quali sono ermate, sono disposte in serie ondulose, mentre nella parte posteriore le costicine più grosse e più marcate sono coperte di papille uniformemente acciuncate". Pantanelli remarked that the anterior riblets are not "wavy", as described by Bronn, but regularly straight (i.e. radial), bearing wide spaced, small tubercles which form wavy alignments, whereas the posterior riblets are coarser, with close set, regularly spaced tubercles. As seen in the illustrations reported by La Perna & D'Abra- mo (2011: fig. 4e, f), the anterior granulations are variable in strength, usually well defined, forming discontinuous wavy ridges, obliquely to commarginally arranged. Strangely, Pantanelli did not recognize the differences between *Cardium striatum* and *C. textum*, though he admitted the poor quality of Brocchi's description and illustration. We are not aware of other citations of *Cardium textum* in the early literature, apart that by Namias (1898), based on the malacological collection from Castell'Arquato housed in the University of Modena, i.e. the same material studied by Pantanelli. "*Cardium textum* Bronn, 1832" (sic) was included in the recent work by Hylleberg (2004: p. 800), with the only remarks "Combination to be revised".
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